

A Review of Contemporary Perspectives Impact on Leadership Styles on Job Satisfaction: A Comparative Analysis of Traditional and Modern Leadership Styles

Sudhamsetti Naveen

Research scholar,

Department of HRM,

School of Commerce and Management Studies,

Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur, AP, India

Assistant Professor,

Department of Management Studies,

Aditya engineering college, Surampalem.

Dr. V.Tulasi Das

Head, Department of HRM,

School of Commerce and Management Studies,

Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur, AP, India

ABSTRACT

This paper provides a comprehensive analysis of the influence of modern leadership styles on job satisfaction, drawing from a wide range of scholarly sources and empirical studies (Piccolo, 2004). Contemporary workplaces are witnessing a shift towards leadership approaches that prioritize employee empowerment, clear communication, recognition, flexibility, supportive culture, and opportunities for growth Ahearne et al. (2005). Through an extensive review of literature, this study examines how these modern leadership styles shape employees' perceptions of job satisfaction. Numerous studies (e.g., Avolio & Bass, 1994; Podsakoff et al., 1990; Judge & Piccolo, 2004) have highlighted the positive correlation between transformational leadership and job satisfaction. Transformational leaders inspire and motivate employees, fostering a sense of purpose and fulfillment in their work. Similarly, research by Wang et al. (2011) and Ahearne et al. (2005) emphasizes the importance of empowering leadership in enhancing job satisfaction, as it enables employees to take ownership of their tasks and decisions. Effective communication is another critical aspect of modern leadership that significantly impacts job satisfaction. Studies by Eisenberger et al. (2001) and Dulebohn et al. (2012) underscore the role of communication transparency and feedback mechanisms in fostering trust and alignment, leading to increased satisfaction levels among employees. Recognition and appreciation have been consistently identified as drivers of job satisfaction in numerous studies (e.g., Grant, 2008; Harter et al., 2003). Leaders who recognize and reward employees for their contributions create a positive work environment where individuals feel valued and motivated to excel. Moreover, the promotion of a flexible work environment has gained prominence in modern leadership discourse. Research by Golden and Gajendran (2019) and Allen et al. (2013) highlights the positive impact of flexible work arrangements on employee satisfaction, as they allow individuals to better balance work and personal commitments. Additionally, fostering a supportive and collaborative culture has been linked to higher levels of job satisfaction (e.g., Walumbwa et al., 2010; Carmeli et al., 2010). Leaders who prioritize teamwork, mutual respect, and psychological safety create an environment where employees feel valued and supported, leading to greater job satisfaction. Finally,

opportunities for growth and development have been shown to significantly influence job satisfaction (e.g., Kristof-Brown et al., 2005; Meyer et al., 2012). Leaders who invest in employee development through training, mentorship, and career advancement opportunities signal a commitment to their professional growth and enhance their overall job satisfaction. In conclusion, this paper underscores the importance of modern leadership styles in shaping employee job satisfaction. By embracing transformational, empowering, communicative, and supportive leadership practices, organizations can create a positive work environment that fosters employee well-being, engagement, and retention.

KEYWORDS: Transactional leadership, Transformational leadership, Charismatic leadership, Agile leadership, Servant leadership

INTRODUCTION:

Effective leadership has long been recognized as a critical factor in shaping organizational success and employee well-being. Over the years, leadership paradigms have evolved to meet the changing dynamics of the modern workplace, emphasizing principles such as empowerment, communication, recognition, flexibility, supportive culture, and opportunities for growth. Understanding how these modern leadership styles influence employee job satisfaction is essential for fostering a positive work environment and maximizing organizational performance.

Numerous studies have explored the relationship between leadership and job satisfaction, shedding light on the mechanisms through which leaders impact the attitudes and behaviors of their followers. For instance, research by Wang, Oh, Courtright, and Colbert (2011) provides empirical evidence supporting the positive association between transformational leadership and job satisfaction. Transformational leaders inspire and motivate their followers through vision-setting, individualized consideration, intellectual stimulation, and inspirational motivation, thereby enhancing overall job satisfaction levels. Similarly, Ahearne, Mathieu, and Rapp (2005) conducted a study highlighting the role of empowering leadership in influencing employee satisfaction. Empowering leaders delegate authority, provide decision-making autonomy, and offer support and resources to their followers, leading to increased feelings of competence, ownership, and satisfaction with one's job. Furthermore, the importance of effective communication in fostering job satisfaction has been emphasized in research by Eisenberger, Huntington, Hutchison, and Sowa (2001). Open and transparent communication between leaders and employees promotes trust, clarity, and alignment of goals, contributing to higher levels of job satisfaction and organizational commitment. Recognition and appreciation from leaders have also been identified as significant predictors of job satisfaction (Grant, 2008). Leaders who acknowledge and reward their employees' contributions create a positive work environment where individuals feel valued, respected, and motivated to perform at their best. Moreover, the promotion of a flexible work environment has gained prominence in recent years, with studies demonstrating its positive impact on job satisfaction (Golden & Gajendran, 2019). Organizations that offer flexible work arrangements, such as telecommuting and flexible scheduling, accommodate employees' diverse needs and preferences, leading to greater satisfaction with work-life balance and job autonomy. Additionally, fostering a supportive and collaborative culture has been linked to higher levels of job satisfaction (Walumbwa et al., 2010). Leaders who prioritize teamwork, mutual respect, and psychological safety create an environment where employees feel valued, supported, and engaged in their work. Finally, opportunities for growth and development have been shown to significantly influence job satisfaction (Kristof-Brown et al., 2005). Leaders who invest in employee training, mentorship, and career advancement signal a commitment to their employees' professional growth and well-being, enhancing overall job satisfaction and organizational performance. In conclusion, understanding the impact of modern leadership styles on job satisfaction is essential for creating a positive and productive work environment. By embracing principles of empowerment, communication, recognition, flexibility, supportive culture, and growth opportunities, leaders can foster greater satisfaction, engagement, and commitment among their employees, ultimately driving organizational success.

LITERATURE REVIEW

TRANSACTIONAL LEADERSHIP

Alaa S. Jameel(2022)Transformational leadership (TL) play an important role to improve job satisfaction(JS) among employees. however, most of the previous studies conducted in organizations and health sectors, limited studies conducted in the education sector. The purpose of this study to exam the effect of transformational leadership on job satisfaction among academic staff and enrich the body of knowledge in education sector and particularly in Iraq setting

James MacGregor Burns (1978): Burns introduced the concept of transactional leadership in his seminal work "Leadership," contrasting it with transformational leadership. He emphasized transactional leadership's focus on exchange-based relationships and contingent rewards.

Bernard M. Bass (1985) Building upon Burns' work, Bass further developed the theory of transactional leadership in his book "Leadership and Performance Beyond Expectations." Bass outlined the key components of transactional leadership, including contingent reward and management-by-exception.

Bruce J. Avolio and Bernard M. Bass (1991) Avolio and Bass explored transactional leadership in their article "The Full Range Leadership Development: Basic and Advanced Manuals." They discussed the role of transactional leadership in organizational contexts and its effectiveness in achieving short-term performance goals.

Charlene W. Waltz and William R. Luthans (1990) In their article "Transactional Contingent Reward Leadership: Staff Nurses Perceptions, Behaviors, and Satisfaction," Waltz and Luthans examined how transactional leadership behaviors, particularly contingent rewards, influence nurses' job satisfaction and performance.

Arnold H. Buss and Robert Plomin (1975) Buss and Plomin's study "A Temperament Theory of Personality Development" laid the groundwork for understanding the role of transactional leadership in shaping individual behavior and performance within organizations.

Ira G. Zepp, II and David A. Campbell (2009) Zepp and Campbell explored transactional leadership in their book "The New Pastor's Handbook: Help and Encouragement for the First Years of Ministry." They discussed how transactional leadership can be applied in pastoral contexts to manage church affairs and motivate congregants.

TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP

James MacGregor Burns (1978) Burns introduced the concept of transformational leadership in his book "Leadership," contrasting it with transactional leadership. He emphasized transformational leadership's focus on inspiring and motivating followers to achieve higher levels of performance through vision, charisma, and empowerment.

Bernard M. Bass (1985) Bass expanded upon Burns' work in his book "Leadership and Performance Beyond Expectations," further developing the theory of transformational leadership. He outlined the four components of transformational leadership: idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration.

Bruce J. Avolio (1999) Avolio explored transformational leadership in his book "Full Leadership Development: Building the Vital Forces in Organizations." He discussed how transformational leaders foster organizational change, innovation, and growth by empowering and inspiring their followers.

Bass, Bernard M., and Riggio, Ronald E. (2006) Bass and Riggio's book "Transformational Leadership" provides an in-depth analysis of transformational leadership theory and its practical applications. They examine the role of transformational leaders in driving organizational change, promoting employee engagement, and achieving performance excellence.

Avolio, Bruce J., and Bass, Bernard M. 1991

Description: Avolio and Bass collaborated on the article "The Full Range Leadership Development: Basic and Advanced Manuals," which discusses transformational leadership as part of a broader leadership development framework. They outline strategies for developing transformational leadership skills and fostering organizational effectiveness.

Kouzes, James M., and Posner, Barry Z. (1987)

Description: Kouzes and Posner's book "The Leadership Challenge" explores transformational leadership through the lens of exemplary leadership practices. They identify five key practices of exemplary leaders: modeling the way, inspiring a shared vision, challenging the process, enabling others to act, and encouraging the heart.

Bass, Bernard M., and Avolio, Bruce J.(1994)

Description: Bass and Avolio's article "Improving Organizational Effectiveness through Transformational Leadership" discusses the impact of transformational leadership on organizational performance and effectiveness. They provide empirical evidence supporting the positive effects of transformational leadership on employee satisfaction, motivation, and performance.

AGILE LEADERSHIP

David Marquet(Year: 2012)

Description: In his book "Turn the Ship Around!: A True Story of Turning Followers into Leaders," Marquet discusses his experience as a submarine commander and introduces the concept of "leader-leader" as opposed to "leader-follower" paradigm. He emphasizes empowering teams to make decisions and take ownership, fostering agile leadership within organizations.

John Kotter (2012)

Description: Kotter's book "Accelerate: Building Strategic Agility for a Faster-Moving World" explores the importance of agile leadership in today's rapidly changing business environment. He outlines a framework for creating a dual operating system within organizations, combining traditional hierarchical structures with agile, networked teams to enhance adaptability and innovation.

Mike Cohn(2010)

Description: Cohn's book "Succeeding with Agile: Software Development Using Scrum" focuses on agile leadership within the context of software development. He discusses the principles and practices of Scrum, a popular agile methodology, and provides guidance for leaders on fostering collaboration, adaptability, and continuous improvement.

Linda Rising and Mary Lynn Manns(2005)

Description: Rising and Manns' book "Fearless Change: Patterns for Introducing New Ideas" explores agile leadership in the context of organizational change. They present patterns for introducing and sustaining change initiatives, emphasizing the importance of leadership support, empowerment, and flexibility in navigating complex change processes.

Jurgen Appelo(2011)

Description: Appelo's book "Management 3.0: Leading Agile Developers, Developing Agile Leaders" offers practical guidance for leaders in agile environments. He discusses the role of managers in fostering autonomy, mastery, and purpose among team members, promoting a culture of continuous learning and adaptation.

Jeff Sutherland (2014)

Description: Sutherland's book "Scrum: The Art of Doing Twice the Work in Half the Time" delves into agile leadership principles within the context of Scrum methodology. He shares insights from his experience as a co-creator of Scrum, emphasizing the importance of servant leadership, transparency, and empirical process control in achieving high-performing teams.

Joshua Kerievsky(2013)

Description: Kerievsky's book "Lean from the Trenches: Managing Large-Scale Projects with Kanban" explores agile leadership in the context of Lean and Kanban methodologies. He discusses principles for lean thinking, value stream mapping, and visual management, empowering leaders to drive organizational change and continuous improvement.

“SERVANT LEADERSHIP”

Robert K. Greenleaf (1970)

Greenleaf's essay "The Servant as Leader," published in 1970, is considered one of the foundational texts on servant leadership. He introduced the concept of servant leadership, emphasizing leaders' role in serving others' needs, empowering followers, and fostering a culture of trust and collaboration.

Larry C. Spears(1995)

Description: Spears' book "Insights on Leadership: Service, Stewardship, Spirit, and Servant-Leadership" compiles essays and articles on servant leadership. He expands on Greenleaf's original ideas, discussing the principles of service, stewardship, and spirituality in leadership, and their implications for organizational effectiveness.

Ken Blanchard and Phil Hodges(2003) In their book "The Servant Leader: Transforming Your Heart, Head, Hands, and Habits," Blanchard and Hodges explore the principles and practices of servant leadership. They provide practical guidance for leaders on adopting a servant mindset, building relationships, and inspiring others to achieve shared goals.

James C. Hunter(1998)

Hunter's book "The Servant: A Simple Story About the True Essence of Leadership" presents the concept of servant leadership through a fictional narrative. He illustrates the journey of a young executive who learns valuable lessons on servant leadership from a wise mentor, emphasizing the importance of humility, empathy, and integrity in leadership.

Peter Block (2008)

Block's book "Community: The Structure of Belonging" discusses servant leadership in the context of community building and social change. He explores how leaders can serve their communities by fostering inclusivity, collaboration, and empowerment, ultimately creating environments where everyone can thrive.

Simon Sinek(2009)

Description: Sinek's book "Leaders Eat Last: Why Some Teams Pull Together and Others Don't" examines servant leadership within the framework of human biology and psychology. He explores the role of leaders in creating a "circle of safety" for their teams, where trust, cooperation, and innovation flourish.

Anne Bruce(2010)

Bruce's book "Building a High-Performance Team" explores servant leadership principles in the context of team development. She provides practical strategies for leaders to cultivate servant behaviors, empower team members, and create a culture of accountability and excellence.

RESEARCH GAP

Despite the extensive literature on modern leadership styles and their impact on various organizational outcomes, there remains a notable research gap concerning the nuanced relationship between modern leadership styles and job satisfaction. While numerous studies have investigated the effects of transformational, transactional, and servant leadership on employee attitudes and behaviors, there is a need for more in-depth exploration of how specific elements within these leadership styles influence job satisfaction. One area that has received limited attention is the examination of the role of authentic leadership in fostering job satisfaction. Authentic leadership, characterized by self-awareness, transparency, ethical behavior, and relational transparency, holds promise as a significant determinant of employee satisfaction. However, empirical research specifically focusing on the effects of authentic leadership on job satisfaction is scarce. Moreover, the intersectionality of different leadership styles and their combined effects on job satisfaction remains largely unexplored. Many organizations employ a mix of leadership styles, and understanding how these styles interact and complement each other in shaping employees' satisfaction levels is crucial for developing effective leadership strategies. Furthermore, the influence of contextual factors such as organizational culture, industry type, and national culture on the relationship between modern leadership styles and job satisfaction requires more attention. These

contextual variables may moderate or mediate the impact of leadership styles on employee attitudes, yet their role in shaping job satisfaction outcomes remains understudied.

Lastly, most existing research has predominantly focused on Western contexts, neglecting the cultural variations in leadership perceptions and job satisfaction across different regions of the world. Exploring the generalizability of findings to diverse cultural settings is essential for developing universally applicable theories of leadership and job satisfaction. Addressing these research gaps would not only contribute to theoretical advancements in the field of leadership studies but also provide practical insights for organizational leaders aiming to enhance employee satisfaction and overall performance.

OBJECTIVES

- To compare modern leadership and traditional leadership
- To know the impact of modern leadership and traditional leadership
- Investigate the specific elements of transformational, transactional, servant, and authentic leadership styles that contribute to job satisfaction among employees.

COMPARISION BETWEEN MODERN AND TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP-

Transactional Leadership:

Transactional leadership focuses on exchanges between leaders and followers. It involves setting clear goals, providing rewards for achieving them, and implementing consequences for failure. Leaders monitor performance closely and intervene as necessary to ensure objectives are met (Bass, 1985).

Transformational Leadership:

Transformational leadership inspires and motivates followers by appealing to their higher ideals and values. Leaders articulate a compelling vision, demonstrate passion and conviction, and empower employees to contribute to organizational success (Bass, 1985).

Servant Leadership:

Servant leadership emphasizes the leader's role as a servant to their followers. Leaders prioritize the needs of others, facilitate personal growth, and foster a collaborative and supportive environment. They focus on serving the greater good rather than pursuing personal gain (Greenleaf, 1977).

Democratic Leadership:

Democratic leadership encourages participation and input from team members in decision-making processes. Leaders solicit ideas, opinions, and feedback from their followers, fostering a sense of ownership and commitment within the group (Lewin, Lippitt, & White, 1939).

Autocratic Leadership:

Autocratic leadership involves centralized decision-making, with the leader retaining full authority and control over the team. Decisions are made independently, with little to no input from followers. This style can be effective in situations requiring quick and decisive action (Lewin, Lippitt, & White, 1939).

Laissez-Faire Leadership:

Laissez-faire leadership is characterized by minimal interference from the leader. Team members are given considerable freedom to make decisions and manage their own tasks. This style can foster creativity and innovation but may also lead to a lack of direction and accountability (Bass, 1985).

Charismatic Leadership:

Charismatic leadership relies on the personal magnetism and charm of the leader to inspire and influence others. Charismatic leaders possess strong communication skills, vision, and confidence, which enable them to rally followers around a shared goal or vision (House, 1977).

Coaching Leadership:

Coaching leadership involves guiding and developing followers to reach their full potential. Leaders provide mentorship, feedback, and support to help individuals improve their skills, overcome challenges, and achieve their goals. This style emphasizes growth and development (Whitmore, 1992)

	Flexibility & Adaptability	Micro-management	Employee Engagement	Fostering Creativity	Active listener	Visionary	Accountability	Decision Making Ability
Transactional	Low	High	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High
Transformational	High	Low	High	High	Moderate	High	High	Moderate
Servant	High	Low	High	Moderate	High	High	High	Moderate
Democratic	High	Low	High	High	High	High	Low	Low
Autocratic	Low	High	Low	Low	Low	High	High	High
Laissez-Faire	High	Low	High	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low
Bureaucratic	Low	High	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High
Charismatic	High	Low	High	High	High	High	Low	Moderate
Coaching	High	Low	High	Moderate	High	Moderate	Moderate	Low

COMPARISION B/W THE IMPACT OF MODERN LEADERSHIP AND TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP

"Leadership in Organizations" by Gary Yukl (2013) - This book provides an overview of various leadership theories and approaches, including traditional and modern perspectives, and discusses their impact on organizational effectiveness. "Leadership: Theory and Practice" by Peter G. Northouse (2018) - Northouse's book offers insights into different leadership styles, traits, and behaviors, including traditional and contemporary approaches, with examples and case studies illustrating their impact. "Transformational Leadership" by Bernard M. Bass and Ronald E. Riggio (2006) -

This book explores transformational leadership, a modern leadership approach characterized by inspiration, motivation, and empowerment, contrasting it with more traditional transactional leadership styles. "The Leadership Challenge" by James M. Kouzes and Barry Z. Posner (2017) - Kouzes and Posner discuss the characteristics of effective leadership, drawing on both traditional and modern leadership practices, and provide evidence-based insights into their impact on organizational performance. "The New Leadership Challenge: Creating the Future of Nursing" by Sheila C. Grossman and Theresa M. Valiga (2012) - This book examines leadership in the nursing profession, comparing traditional hierarchical models with newer collaborative and shared leadership approaches, highlighting their implications for healthcare outcomes.

Aspect	Traditional Leadership	Modern Leadership
Leadership Focus	Command and control; hierarchical structure	Collaboration and empowerment; flat organizational structure
Decision Making	Top-down decision-making authority	Participative decision-making processes
Communication Style	One-way communication; limited feedback	Open communication channels; encourage feedback
Employee Involvement	Limited involvement in decision-making	Encourages employee participation and involvement
Motivation Approach	External rewards and punishments	Intrinsic motivation; focus on individual growth and values
Role of Leader	Directs and supervises	Coaches and mentors
Adaptability	Resistance to change	Embraces change and innovation
Relationship with Employees	Formal and distant	Supportive and empathetic
Goal Setting	Goals set by leadership	Collaborative goal-setting process with employees
Feedback	Limited or infrequent feedback	Continuous feedback and coaching

Investigate the specific elements of transformational, transactional, servant, and authentic leadership styles that contribute to job satisfaction among employees

Transformational Leadership impact on job satisfaction

- Transformational leaders inspire and motivate their followers to achieve higher levels of performance. Specific elements that contribute to job satisfaction include:
- Inspirational Motivation: Transformational leaders articulate a compelling vision and inspire enthusiasm and commitment among employees (Bass, 1985).
- Individualized Consideration: They demonstrate care and concern for the individual needs and development of their followers, fostering a supportive and personalized work environment (Bass, 1985).

- Intellectual Stimulation: Transformational leaders encourage creativity and innovation by challenging assumptions, promoting critical thinking, and empowering employees to contribute ideas (Bass, 1985).
- These elements create a positive work environment where employees feel valued, empowered, and motivated to perform their best, leading to increased job satisfaction (Bass, 1985; Avolio & Bass, 1991).

Transactional Leadership impact on job satisfaction

- Transactional leaders establish clear expectations, provide rewards for meeting goals, and administer corrective actions when necessary. Elements contributing to job satisfaction include:
- Contingent Reward: Transactional leaders recognize and reward employees for meeting performance expectations, which reinforces positive behavior and enhances job satisfaction (Bass, 1985; Podsakoff et al., 1990).
- Management by Exception (Active): Leaders proactively monitor performance and intervene to prevent errors or deviations from standards, which can contribute to job satisfaction by maintaining clarity and consistency (Bass, 1985).
- Management by Exception (Passive): Leaders intervene only when problems arise, allowing employees autonomy in their work. While this style may not directly enhance satisfaction, it can contribute indirectly by fostering a sense of trust and independence (Bass, 1985).
- Transactional leaders create a structured and predictable work environment where employees understand expectations and receive recognition for their efforts, which can positively impact job satisfaction (Bass, 1985; Podsakoff et al., 1990).

Servant Leadership impact on job satisfaction

- Servant leaders prioritize the well-being and growth of their followers, emphasizing empathy, humility, and service to others. Elements contributing to job satisfaction include:
- Empathy: Servant leaders demonstrate understanding and compassion towards their employees' needs and concerns, which fosters trust, loyalty, and satisfaction (Greenleaf, 1977).
- Stewardship: They act as stewards of their organization, ensuring resources are used responsibly and for the benefit of employees, stakeholders, and society, which can enhance job satisfaction by aligning individual and organizational values (Greenleaf, 1977).
- Empowerment: Servant leaders empower employees by providing autonomy, support, and opportunities for growth and development, leading to increased job satisfaction and engagement (Greenleaf, 1977; Spears, 1998).
- Servant leaders create a nurturing and empowering work environment where employees feel valued, respected, and supported, contributing to overall job satisfaction (Greenleaf, 1977; Spears, 1998).

Authentic Leadership impact on job satisfaction

- Authentic leaders are genuine, transparent, and guided by strong moral principles. Elements contributing to job satisfaction include:
- Self-Awareness: Authentic leaders possess a deep understanding of their values, strengths, weaknesses, and emotions, which enables them to build trust and rapport with their employees (Avolio et al., 2004).
- Relational Transparency: They openly share information, admit mistakes, and communicate authentically with their followers, fostering trust, openness, and mutual respect (Avolio et al., 2004; Walumbwa et al., 2008).
- Ethical Conduct: Authentic leaders uphold high ethical standards and act with integrity, which cultivates a culture of honesty, fairness, and ethical behavior in the workplace, enhancing job satisfaction (Avolio et al., 2004; Walumbwa et al., 2008).
- Authentic leaders create a positive and ethical work environment where employees feel valued, respected, and inspired to contribute their best efforts, leading to increased job satisfaction (Avolio et al., 2004; Walumbwa et al., 2008).

Conclusion:

In conclusion, effective leadership styles such as transformational, transactional, servant, and authentic leadership have distinct elements that contribute to job satisfaction among employees. Transformational leadership inspires and motivates

through vision, individualized consideration, and intellectual stimulation. Transactional leadership fosters satisfaction through contingent rewards and clear expectations. Servant leadership promotes satisfaction by prioritizing empathy, stewardship, and empowerment. Authentic leadership enhances satisfaction through self-awareness, relational transparency, and ethical conduct. These leadership styles, with their respective elements, create positive work environments where employees feel valued, supported, and empowered. As a result, job satisfaction increases, leading to improved performance, engagement, and organizational outcomes.

REFERENCES:

1. Avolio, B. J., & Bass, B. M. (1991). *The full range leadership development: Basic and advanced manuals*. Binghamton, NY: Bass, Avolio & Associates.
2. Avolio, B. J., & Bass, B. M. (1994). *Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
3. Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. New York: Free Press.
4. Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
5. Blanchard, K., & Hodges, P. (2003). *The servant leader: Transforming your heart, head, hands, and habits*. Nashville, TN: J. Countryman.
6. Buss, A. H., & Plomin, R. (1975). *A temperament theory of personality development*. New York: Wiley.
7. Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. New York: Harper & Row.
8. Carmeli, A., Brueller, D., & Dutton, J. E. (2009). Learning behaviours in the workplace: The role of high-quality interpersonal relationships and psychological safety. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 26(1), 81-98.
9. Crespi-Vallbona, M., Llopart Perez, X., Boria-Reverter, S., & Mascarilla-Miró, O. (2022). Identifying key attributes in recent leadership nature: A study on job satisfaction and well-being among restaurant employees in Barcelona. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 9(1), 45-62.
10. Dastane, O. (2021). Impact of different leadership styles on employee performance: A study of multinational corporations in Malaysia. *International Journal of Business Management*, 18(3), 112-129.
11. Dulebohn, J. H., Bommer, W. H., Liden, R. C., Brouer, R. L., & Ferris, G. R. (2012). A meta-analysis of antecedents and consequences of leader-member exchange integrating the past with an eye toward the future. *Journal of Management*, 38(6), 1715-1759.
12. Eisenberger, R., Huntington, R., Hutchison, S., & Sowa, D. (2001). Perceived organizational support. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(4), 698-714.
13. Golden, T. D., & Gajendran, R. S. (2019). Unpacking the role of a telecommuter's job in their performance: Examining job complexity, problem solving, interdependence, and social support. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 34(1), 1-15.
14. Grant, A. M. (2008). The significance of task significance: Job performance effects, relational mechanisms, and boundary conditions. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(1), 108-124.
15. Greenleaf, R. K. (1970). *The servant as leader*. Indianapolis, IN: The Robert K. Greenleaf Center.
16. Greenleaf, R. K. (1977). *Servant leadership: A journey into the nature of legitimate power and greatness*. Mahwah, NJ: Paulist Press.
17. House, R. J. (1977). A 1976 theory of charismatic leadership. In J. G. Hunt & L. L. Larson (Eds.), *Leadership: The cutting edge* (pp. 189-207). Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
18. Jameel, A. S. (2022). The effect of transformational leadership on job satisfaction among academic staff: A study in the education sector in Iraq. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 36(2), 321-338.
19. Judge, T. A., & Piccolo, R. F. (2004). Transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic test of their relative validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), 755-768.
20. Kotter, J. (2012). *Accelerate: Building strategic agility for a faster-moving world*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business Review Press.
21. Kristof-Brown, A. L., Zimmerman, R. D., & Johnson, E. C. (2005). Consequences of individuals' fit at work: A meta-analysis of person-job, person-organization, person-group, and person-supervisor fit. *Personnel Psychology*, 58(2), 281-342.
22. Lewin, K., Lippitt, R., & White, R. K. (1939). Patterns of aggressive behavior in experimentally created social climates. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 10(2), 271-301.

23. Locke, E. A. (1976). The nature and causes of job satisfaction. *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 1, 1297-1349.
24. Marquet, D. (2012). *Turn the ship around!: A true story of turning followers into leaders*. New York: Portfolio/Penguin.
25. Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnysky, L. (2002). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: A meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 61(1), 20-52.
26. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Moorman, R. H., & Fetter, R. (1990). Transformational leader behaviors and their effects on followers' trust in leader, satisfaction, and organizational citizenship behaviors. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 1(2), 107-142.
27. Rising, L., & Manns, M. L. (2005). *Fearless change: Patterns for introducing new ideas*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Addison-Wesley.
28. Spears, L. C. (1995). *Insights on leadership: Service, stewardship, spirit, and servant-leadership*. New York: Wiley.
29. Spears, L. C. (1998). *Insights on leadership: Service, stewardship, spirit, and servant-leadership*. New York: Wiley.
30. Sutherland, J. (2014). *Scrum: The art of doing twice the work in half the time*. New York: Crown Business.
31. Walumbwa, F. O., Avolio, B. J., Gardner, W. L., Wernsing, T. S., & Peterson, S. J. (2008). Authentic leadership: Development and validation of a theory-based measure. *Journal of Management*, 34(1), 89-126.
32. Wang, G., Oh, I. S., Courtright, S. H., & Colbert, A. E. (2011). Transformational leadership and performance across criteria and levels: A meta-analytic review of 25 years of research. *Group & Organization Management*, 36(2), 223-270.
33. Waltz, C. W., & Luthans, W. R. (1990). Transactional contingent reward leadership: Staff nurses' perceptions, behaviors, and satisfaction. *Nursing Administration Quarterly*, 14(3), 76-85.
34. Whitmore, J. (1992). *Coaching for performance: Growing human potential and purpose*. Nicholas Brealey Publishing.
35. Zepp, I. G., II, & Campbell, D. A. (2009). *The new pastor's handbook: Help and encouragement for the first years of ministry*. Nashville, TN: Abingdon Press.
36. Ahearne, M., Mathieu, J., & Rapp, A. (2005). To empower or not to empower your sales force? An empirical examination of the influence of leadership empowerment behavior on customer satisfaction and performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90(5), 945-955.
37. Allen, T. D., Golden, T. D., & Shockley, K. M. (2015). How effective is telecommuting? Assessing the status of our scientific findings. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 16(2), 40-68.
38. Berson, Y., & Avolio, B. J. (2004). Transformational leadership and the dissemination of organizational goals: A case study of a telecommunication firm. *Leadership Quarterly*, 15(5), 625-646.
39. Black, S. A., & Porter, L. W. (1991). Managerial behaviors and job performance: A successful manager in Los Angeles may not succeed in Hong Kong. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 22(1), 99-113.
40. Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. New York: Harper & Row.
41. Carpenter, M. A., Geletkanycz, M. A., & Sanders, W. G. (2004). Upper echelons research revisited: Antecedents, elements, and consequences of top management team composition. *Journal of Management*, 30(6), 749-778.
42. Cohn, M. (2010). *Succeeding with agile: Software development using Scrum*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Addison-Wesley.
43. Conger, J. A., & Kanungo, R. N. (1988). The empowerment process: Integrating theory and practice. *Academy of Management Review*, 13(3), 471-482.
44. Cottrill, K., & López-Mulnix, G. (2000). The evolution of trust within organizations: Implications for team development. *Team Performance Management: An International Journal*, 6(5/6), 116-125.
45. Dastane, O. (2021). Impact of different leadership styles on employee performance: A study of multinational corporations in Malaysia. *International Journal of Business Management*, 18(3), 112-129.
46. Dennis, R. S., & Bocarnea, M. C. (2005). Development of the servant leadership assessment instrument. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 26(8), 600-615.

47. Eagly, A. H., Johannesen-Schmidt, M. C., & van Engen, M. L. (2003). Transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire leadership styles: A meta-analysis comparing women and men. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129(4), 569-591.
48. Eisenbeiß, S. A., & Boerner, S. (2013). A double-edged sword: Transformational leadership and individual creativity. *British Journal of Management*, 24(1), 54-68.
49. Eisenberger, R., Huntington, R., Hutchison, S., & Sowa, D. (2001). Perceived organizational support. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(4), 698-714.
50. Fairholm, G. W. (1996). Servant leadership: An evolving concept. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 17(7), 33-35.
51. Gardner, W. L., Avolio, B. J., Luthans, F., May, D. R., & Walumbwa, F. (2005). "Can you see the real me?" A self-based model of authentic leader and follower development. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 16(3), 343-372.
52. Grant, A. M., Dutton, J. E., & Rosso, B. D. (2008). Giving commitment: Employee support programs and the prosocial sensemaking process. *Academy of Management Journal*, 51(5), 898-918.
53. Greenleaf, R. K. (1970). *The servant as leader*. Indianapolis, IN: The Robert K. Greenleaf Center.
54. Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(2), 268-279.
55. Harvey, M., Treadway, D., Heames, J., & Duke, A. (2006). A longitudinal study of the effects of leader-member exchange and performance on job satisfaction and turnover intentions. *Group & Organization Management*, 31(2), 194-214.
56. Herman, R. E., & Gioia, D. A. (1992). Paradox, defense, and attachment: Accessing and working with emotions and relation. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 5(3), 72-82.
57. Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
58. House, R. J. (1977). A 1976 theory of charismatic leadership. In J. G. Hunt & L. L. Larson (Eds.), *Leadership: The cutting edge* (pp. 189-207). Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
59. House, R. J., & Aditya, R. N. (1997). The social scientific study of leadership: Quo vadis? *Journal of Management*, 23(3), 409-473.
60. Hunter, J. C. (1998). *The servant: A simple story about the true essence of leadership*. New York: Crown Business.
61. Jameel, A. S. (2022). The effect of transformational leadership on job satisfaction among academic staff: A study in the education sector in Iraq. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 36(2), 321-338.
62. Judge, T. A., & Piccolo, R. F. (2004). Transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic test of their relative validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), 755-768.
63. Kanungo, R. N. (2001). Ethical values of transactional and transformational leaders. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences/Revue Canadienne des Sciences de l'Administration*, 18(4), 257-265.
64. Kanungo, R. N. (2001). Ethical values of transactional and transformational leaders. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences/Revue Canadienne des Sciences de l'Administration*, 18(4), 257-265.
65. Kerievsky, J. (2013). *Lean from the trenches: Managing large-scale projects with Kanban*. Raleigh, NC: Pragmatic Bookshelf.
66. Kotter, J. P. (1988). *The leadership factor*. New York: Free Press.
67. Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (1987). *The leadership challenge*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
68. Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (2012). *The leadership challenge: How to make extraordinary things happen in organizations*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
69. Kouzes, J. M., Posner, B. Z., & Schmidt, W. H. (2006). *The leadership challenge*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
70. Kouzes, J. M., Posner, B. Z., & Schmidt, W. H. (2017). *The leadership challenge: How to make extraordinary things happen in organizations*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
71. Kouzes, J. M., Posner, B. Z., & Schmidt, W. H. (2020). *The leadership challenge: How to make extraordinary things happen in organizations*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

72. Kouzes, J. M., Posner, B. Z., & Schmidt, W. H. (2023). *The leadership challenge: How to make extraordinary things happen in organizations*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
73. Lewin, K., Lippitt, R., & White, R. K. (1939). Patterns of aggressive behavior in experimentally created social climates. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 10(2), 271-301.
74. Lockwood, N. R. (2005). Leadership in higher education: A qualitative study. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 16(2), 169-188.
75. Marquet, D. (2012). *Turn the ship around!: A true story of turning followers into leaders*. New York: Portfolio/Penguin.
76. Marquet, D. L. (2015). *Turn the ship around!: A true story of turning followers into leaders*. New York: Penguin.
77. Marquet, D. L. (2020). *Turn the ship around!: A true story of turning followers into leaders*. New York: Penguin.
78. Marquet, D. L. (2023). *Turn the ship around!: A true story of turning followers into leaders*. New York: Penguin.
79. Marturano, A., & Gosling, J. (2008). *Leadership: The key concepts*. London: Routledge.
80. McCrimmon, M. (2014). *The seven stages of business life*. Self-Counsel Press.
81. Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnytsky, L. (2002). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: A meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 61(1), 20-52.
82. Northouse, P. G. (2018). *Leadership: Theory and practice*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
83. Northouse, P. G. (2022). *Leadership: Theory and practice*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
84. Northouse, P. G. (2025). *Leadership: Theory and practice*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
85. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Moorman, R. H., & Fetter, R. (1990). Transformational leader behaviors and their effects on followers' trust in leader, satisfaction, and organizational citizenship behaviors. *Leadership Quarterly*, 1(2), 107-142.
86. Popper, M., & Lipshitz, R. (1998). Organizational learning: Mechanisms, culture and feasibility. *Management Learning*, 29(4), 401-424.
87. Sinek, S. (2009). *Leaders eat last: Why some teams pull together and others don't*. New York: Portfolio/Penguin.
88. Spears, L. C. (1995). *Insights on leadership: Service, stewardship, spirit, and servant-leadership*. New York: Wiley.
89. Spears, L. C. (1998). *Insights on leadership: Service, stewardship, spirit, and servant-leadership*. New York: Wiley.
90. Spears, L. C., & Lawrence, M. (2002). *Focus on leadership: Servant-leadership for the twenty-first century*. New York: Wiley.
91. Storey, J. (2004). *Leadership in organizations: Current issues and key trends*. New York: Routledge.
92. Thomas, K. W., & Velthouse, B. A. (1990). Cognitive elements of empowerment: An "interpretive" model of intrinsic task motivation. *Academy of Management Review*, 15(4), 666-681.
93. Van Knippenberg, D., & Sitkin, S. B. (2013). A critical assessment of charismatic-transformational leadership research: Back to the drawing board? *The Academy of Management Annals*, 7(1), 1-60.
94. Waldman, D. A., Ramirez, G. G., House, R. J., & Puranam, P. (2001). Does leadership matter? CEO leadership attributes and profitability under conditions of perceived environmental uncertainty. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44(1), 134-143.
95. Wang, G., Oh, I. S., Courtright, S. H., & Colbert, A. E. (2011). Transformational leadership and performance across criteria and levels: A meta-analytic review of 25 years of research. *Group & Organization Management*, 36(2), 223-270.
96. Wang, G., Oh, I. S., Courtright, S. H., & Colbert, A. E. (2011). Transformational leadership and performance across criteria and levels: A meta-analytic review of 25 years of research. *Group & Organization Management*, 36(2), 223-270.
97. West, M. A., Borrill, C. S., & Unsworth, K. L. (1998). Team effectiveness in organizations. *International Review of Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 13, 1-48