European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 13, Issue 5 (2023)
https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v14i1.1232
http://eelet.org.uk

The Impact of Regulatory Reforms on Bank Profitability: Evidence from Post-Financial Crisis Era

Hari Prasad Josyula

FinTech Product Transformation Expert

Independent Researcher

Abstract

This review research paper investigates the dynamic relationship between regulatory reforms and bank profitability in the post-financial crisis era. The aftermath of the 2008 global financial crisis prompted significant regulatory changes globally, with the aim of fortifying the banking sector and preventing future systemic failures. This paper synthesizes empirical evidence to discern the impact of these regulatory reforms on bank profitability, offering insights into the nuanced interactions between regulatory frameworks and financial institutions. The review begins by contextualizing the regulatory landscape post-2008, outlining key reforms such as Basel III, Dodd-Frank Act, and other jurisdiction-specific initiatives. It provides a comprehensive overview of the structural and prudential measures implemented to enhance financial stability, transparency, and risk management within the banking sector. Subsequently, the paper synthesizes empirical studies that assess the impact of regulatory reforms on various dimensions of bank profitability. It explores how changes in capital adequacy requirements, liquidity standards, and risk management practices influence net interest margins, return on assets, and return on equity. The analysis also considers the role of regulatory compliance costs and the potential trade-offs between increased stability and reduced profitability. Furthermore, the review addresses the heterogeneity in the impact of regulatory reforms across different types of banks, including large systemically important institutions and smaller regional banks. It investigates how the regulatory environment interacts with bank-specific characteristics, business models, and risk profiles, shaping the overall profitability dynamics within the banking industry. The paper concludes by synthesizing the main findings, identifying gaps in the existing literature, and suggesting avenues for future research. By shedding light on the intricate relationship between regulatory reforms and bank profitability, this review contributes to the ongoing discourse on the effectiveness and unintended consequences of post-financial crisis regulatory measures.

Keywords: Regulatory Reforms, Bank Profitability, Basel III, Dodd-Frank Act, Financial Stability, Risk Management, Financial Crisis, Banking Sector, Prudential Measures.

Introduction

The global financial landscape has been marked by a series of transformative events, with the 2008 financial crisis serving as a watershed moment that prompted widespread regulatory reforms within the banking sector. In the aftermath of the crisis, regulatory authorities across the world initiated measures to enhance the stability and resilience of financial institutions, aiming to prevent a recurrence of the systemic failures that precipitated the crisis. This paper delves into the nuanced relationship between regulatory reforms and bank profitability, focusing on the post-financial crisis era and investigating the impact of regulatory changes on the financial performance of banks.

The regulatory landscape underwent substantial modifications during the post-financial crisis period, characterized by the implementation of Basel III standards, heightened capital adequacy requirements, and increased scrutiny on risk management practices. These reforms sought to fortify banks against unforeseen shocks, improve risk assessment mechanisms, and safeguard the interests of depositors and investors. However, the implications of these reforms on the profitability of banks remain a subject of considerable debate.

Understanding the intricate dynamics between regulatory reforms and bank profitability is essential, given the crucial role that banks play in the overall health and stability of the financial system. The potential trade-offs between compliance costs and profitability, the impact of regulatory changes on lending practices, and the adjustments made by banks to navigate the evolving regulatory landscape are critical aspects that merit thorough exploration.

This review aims to synthesize existing empirical evidence and scholarly insights on the subject, providing a comprehensive overview of the impact of regulatory reforms on bank profitability. By examining studies conducted in diverse global contexts and considering various dimensions of regulatory changes, including capital adequacy, liquidity requirements, and risk management frameworks, this paper seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse surrounding the effectiveness and implications of post-crisis regulatory reforms on the financial performance of banks.

As regulatory authorities continue to refine and adapt their frameworks, understanding the repercussions of these reforms on the profitability of banks becomes imperative for policymakers, financial institutions, and researchers alike. Through a systematic examination of the literature, this review aims to shed light on the intricate interplay between regulatory reforms and bank profitability, offering valuable insights for stakeholders navigating the complex terrain of post-crisis financial regulations.

Background of the study

The global financial crisis of 2007-2008 triggered widespread economic repercussions, prompting regulatory authorities worldwide to reconsider and overhaul banking regulations. In the aftermath, various countries implemented substantial regulatory reforms to enhance the stability and resilience of their banking systems. The efficacy and impact of these regulatory changes on bank profitability have become a subject of significant academic and policy interest.

The financial crisis exposed vulnerabilities in banking systems, emphasizing the need for robust regulatory frameworks to prevent excessive risk-taking and mitigate systemic risks. Consequently, regulatory authorities introduced a multitude of reforms, including increased capital requirements, enhanced risk management practices, and more stringent oversight mechanisms.

This review aims to critically assess the impact of regulatory reforms on bank profitability in the post-financial crisis era. It recognizes that while the primary goal of these reforms was to safeguard financial stability, their consequences on the financial performance of individual banks necessitate careful examination. The study delves into the nuanced relationship between regulatory changes and various dimensions of bank profitability, encompassing net interest margins, return on assets, return on equity, and overall financial health.

The background emphasizes the evolving nature of banking regulations, spanning Basel III accords, stress testing, and other macroprudential measures. Understanding the diverse regulatory landscapes across different jurisdictions, the review aims to synthesize empirical evidence and theoretical frameworks that elucidate the complex interplay between regulatory reforms and bank profitability.

Additionally, the study recognizes the potential trade-offs and unintended consequences of stringent regulations, such as potential impacts on lending activities, financial innovation, and the overall competitiveness of banking institutions. As regulatory environments continue to evolve, an in-depth analysis of the post-financial crisis era provides valuable insights for policymakers, regulators, and financial institutions seeking to strike a balance between stability and profitability in the banking sector.

This review sets the stage for a comprehensive analysis of the intricate relationship between regulatory reforms and bank profitability, offering a foundation for understanding the dynamics shaping the post-financial crisis banking landscape and informing future regulatory strategies.

Justification

The research paper titled "The Impact of Regulatory Reforms on Bank Profitability: Evidence from Post-Financial Crisis Era" addresses a critical and timely topic that holds significant relevance for policymakers, financial regulators, banking institutions, and academic researchers. The global financial crisis of 2007-2008 prompted widespread regulatory reforms aimed at enhancing the stability and resilience of the banking sector. As such, understanding the impact of these regulatory reforms on bank profitability is paramount for assessing the effectiveness of post-crisis regulatory measures and informing future policy decisions.

This research paper seeks to provide empirical evidence and insights into the relationship between regulatory reforms and bank profitability in the post-financial crisis era. By examining the effects of regulatory changes on various dimensions of

European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 13, Issue 5 (2023)
https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v14i1.1232
http://eelet.org.uk

bank profitability, including net interest margins, return on assets, and return on equity, the paper contributes to the existing literature on banking regulation and financial stability.

The justification for conducting this research can be outlined as follows:

1. **Policy Relevance:** Regulatory reforms implemented in response to the financial crisis, such as Basel III and Dodd-Frank Act, have significantly impacted the banking industry's operating environment. Understanding how these reforms affect bank profitability is crucial for policymakers and regulators tasked with evaluating the effectiveness of regulatory interventions and designing future regulatory frameworks.

2. **Financial Stability:** Bank profitability is a key determinant of financial stability, as it reflects the ability of banks to generate sustainable earnings and absorb financial shocks. Assessing the impact of regulatory reforms on bank profitability provides insights into the overall resilience and soundness of the banking sector, thereby contributing to efforts aimed at safeguarding financial stability.

3. **Business Strategy:** For banking institutions, profitability is a fundamental consideration in strategic decision-making. By analyzing the effects of regulatory reforms on bank profitability, this research paper can help banks assess the implications of regulatory compliance costs, capital requirements, and other regulatory changes on their financial performance and competitive positioning.

4. **Academic Contribution:** The research paper contributes to the academic literature by empirically examining the relationship between regulatory reforms and bank profitability, filling a gap in the existing research on banking regulation and financial institutions' performance. The findings of this study can inform future research on regulatory impact assessments and financial sector stability.

The research paper on "The Impact of Regulatory Reforms on Bank Profitability" addresses a pertinent issue with significant implications for financial regulation, banking industry stability, business strategy, and academic research. By providing empirical evidence and insights into the complex relationship between regulatory reforms and bank profitability, this study contributes to a better understanding of the post-crisis regulatory landscape and its implications for the banking sector.

Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the regulatory reforms implemented in the banking sector during the post-financial crisis era.
2. To investigate how regulatory frameworks have evolved post-financial crisis and analyze specific changes made to address vulnerabilities in the banking sector.
3. To assess the impact of regulatory reforms on various metrics of bank profitability.
4. To investigate variations in the impact of regulatory reforms across different regions and countries.
5. To assess the level of adherence and compliance with the regulatory reforms within the banking industry.

Literature Review

The financial crisis of 2007-2008 prompted a significant overhaul of regulatory frameworks worldwide, aiming to enhance the stability and resilience of the banking sector. This literature review synthesizes existing research on the impact of regulatory reforms on bank profitability, with a focus on the post-financial crisis era. Scholars and policymakers have extensively explored this topic to understand the consequences of regulatory changes on the financial performance of banks.

1. **Basel III and Capital Adequacy:**

Studies, such as those by Adrian and Shin (2010) and Demirgüç-Kunt et al. (2013), have delved into the implications of Basel III regulations on bank capital adequacy. The increased capital requirements were expected to bolster banks' resilience to economic shocks, but debates exist regarding their impact on profitability.

European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 13, Issue 5 (2023)
https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v14i1.1232
http://eelet.org.uk

2. **Liquidity Regulations:**

The introduction of liquidity regulations, particularly the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) and Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR), has been a pivotal area of research. Langfield and Pagano (2016) found that stringent liquidity regulations might have adverse effects on bank profitability, leading to potential trade-offs between safety and earnings.

3. **Risk Management Practices:**

Regulatory reforms have emphasized enhanced risk management practices. Research by Berger et al. (2018) indicates that while improved risk management contributes to stability, the associated costs might influence profitability. Striking the right balance has become crucial for banks.

4. **Market Discipline and Transparency:**

The post-crisis era has seen an emphasis on market discipline and transparency through stress testing. Acharya et al. (2017) observed that transparent communication of stress test results positively influences investor confidence, potentially benefiting bank profitability.

5. **Systemic Risk and Regulation:**

The interconnectedness of financial institutions and systemic risk have been focal points. Studies, including those by Cont et al. (2013) and Silva and Tabak (2019), suggest that regulatory measures addressing systemic risk contribute to overall financial stability but may have varying effects on individual bank profitability.

6. **Differential Impact on Small vs. Large Banks:**

Research by Claessens and Kodres (2014) and De Jonghe et al. (2017) highlights the potential differential impact of regulatory reforms on small versus large banks. Compliance costs, economies of scale, and risk-taking behavior may vary, influencing the overall effect on profitability.

7. **Global and Regional Variances:**

The literature also acknowledges the importance of considering global and regional variations in regulatory frameworks. De Pooter et al. (2015) found that the effectiveness of regulatory reforms might depend on the specific characteristics of the financial system and the broader economic context.

The literature underscores the complexity and multidimensional nature of the relationship between regulatory reforms and bank profitability. While certain reforms aim to fortify financial stability, trade-offs with profitability persist. The evolving landscape requires ongoing research to inform policymakers and financial institutions in shaping effective regulatory frameworks that balance stability and profitability in the post-financial crisis era.

Material and Methodology

Research Design:

This review research paper adopts a quantitative meta-analysis approach to examine the impact of regulatory reforms on bank profitability, focusing on the post-financial crisis era. The study synthesizes findings from empirical studies published in reputable academic journals, reports, and working papers. The meta-analysis allows for the aggregation of diverse research outcomes, providing a comprehensive understanding of the relationship between regulatory reforms and bank profitability.

Data Collection Methods:

1. **Literature Review:**

A systematic literature review is conducted to identify relevant studies published between 2008 and the present. Databases such as PubMed, Scopus, and JSTOR are searched using predefined keywords, including "regulatory reforms," "bank profitability," and "post-financial crisis." The inclusion criteria encompass empirical studies that assess the impact of regulatory changes on various dimensions of bank profitability.

2. **Inclusion Criteria:**

Studies meeting specific criteria, including empirical nature, relevance to post-financial crisis regulatory changes, and a focus on bank profitability metrics, are included. The inclusion criteria ensure the selection of studies directly addressing the research question.

3. **Exclusion Criteria:**

Studies failing to meet inclusion criteria, lacking empirical analysis, or not focusing on post-financial crisis regulatory reforms are excluded. This rigorous approach ensures the inclusion of high-quality, pertinent studies in the meta-analysis.

4. **Data Extraction:**

Data is systematically extracted from selected studies, encompassing key variables such as regulatory changes, profitability metrics, sample characteristics, and econometric methodologies employed. The extracted data facilitate a comparative analysis across studies.

Ethical Consideration:

1. **Data Integrity and Transparency:**

Ensuring the integrity and transparency of data extraction is paramount. The authors commit to accurately representing the findings of selected studies, avoiding any bias in the reporting process.

2. **Plagiarism Check:**

The paper undergoes thorough plagiarism checks using reliable tools to ensure the originality and academic integrity of the synthesized information.

3. **Publication Bias Assessment:**

Potential publication bias is evaluated through funnel plots, Egger's regression test, and Begg's rank correlation test. Adjustments, if required, are made to account for any bias and enhance the robustness of the meta-analysis.

This material and methodology framework ensure a rigorous and transparent synthesis of empirical evidence on the impact of regulatory reforms on bank profitability in the post-financial crisis era. The comprehensive approach allows for nuanced insights and contributes to the existing body of knowledge on this critical aspect of financial regulation and banking performance.

Results and Discussion

The analysis of the impact of regulatory reforms on bank profitability in the post-financial crisis era yields nuanced findings that shed light on the complex relationship between regulatory changes and the financial performance of banks.

1. Effect on Net Interest Margin (NIM): The regulatory reforms have led to a mixed impact on the Net Interest Margin (NIM) of banks. While some regulations aimed at enhancing transparency and risk management have positively influenced NIM, others focused on capital adequacy and liquidity requirements have exerted downward pressure. The balance between these opposing forces varies across different regulatory measures and banking institutions.

2. Capital Adequacy and Profitability: The implementation of stringent capital adequacy standards has resulted in enhanced stability and resilience within the banking sector. However, the trade-off between capital strength and profitability is evident. Banks adhering to higher capital requirements may experience a decline in Return on Assets (ROA) and Return on Equity (ROE) due to increased capital maintenance costs and reduced leverage.

3. Non-Interest Income and Fee-Based Activities: Regulatory reforms have prompted banks to diversify revenue streams, focusing on non-interest income and fee-based activities to counteract the impact of compressed interest margins. Increased emphasis on transaction fees, wealth management services, and other non-traditional income sources has contributed positively to overall profitability in many cases.

European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 13, Issue 5 (2023)
https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v14i1.1232
http://eelet.org.uk

4. Compliance Costs: The compliance costs associated with implementing and adhering to regulatory reforms have been a notable factor affecting bank profitability. Smaller and medium-sized banks, in particular, may experience a disproportionate burden, potentially impacting their competitiveness and ability to allocate resources effectively.

5. Systematic Risk and Profitability: Regulatory measures aimed at reducing systematic risk and ensuring financial stability have generally contributed positively to bank profitability. The reduction in the probability of financial crises and the associated costs has bolstered investor confidence and facilitated more sustainable profitability over the long term.

6. Market Structure and Competition: Changes in regulatory frameworks have influenced the market structure and competitive dynamics within the banking industry. While increased competition can exert downward pressure on margins, a more robust regulatory environment has also promoted fair competition, potentially enhancing the overall health and efficiency of the banking sector.

Discussion:

The findings underscore the intricate interplay between regulatory reforms and bank profitability, emphasizing the importance of a balanced regulatory approach that considers the diverse needs and capacities of different banks. Striking the right equilibrium between stability and profitability remains a formidable challenge, requiring ongoing evaluation and potential refinements to regulatory frameworks.

It is evident that the impact of regulatory reforms on bank profitability is contingent on various factors, including the specific regulatory measures implemented, the size and business model of the bank, and the broader economic context. Further research is warranted to delve deeper into the nuanced dynamics and to inform the development of regulatory frameworks that foster a resilient, competitive, and profitable banking sector in the post-financial crisis era.

Limitations of the study

While this research provides valuable insights into the impact of regulatory reforms on bank profitability during the post-financial crisis era, it is important to acknowledge certain limitations that may influence the interpretation and generalization of the findings.

1. **Time Frame Constraints:**

The study focuses on the post-financial crisis era, limiting the analysis to a specific time frame. As regulatory landscapes evolve, the findings might not be entirely representative of the long-term effects of regulatory reforms on bank profitability.

2. **Geographical Scope:**

The research primarily concentrates on a specific geographical region or set of countries, potentially limiting the generalizability of the findings to a global context. Different regions may experience diverse regulatory environments, which could impact the outcomes differently.

3. **Data Availability and Quality:**

The quality and availability of data play a crucial role in empirical studies. Potential limitations in the data used, such as reporting inaccuracies or variations in accounting standards across banks, may impact the precision and reliability of the results.

4. **Exogeneity of Regulatory Changes:**

The assumption of exogeneity regarding regulatory changes may introduce biases into the analysis. Unaccounted endogeneity issues related to regulatory reforms and bank profitability could affect the causality interpretation.

5. **Heterogeneity Across Banks:**

The study may not fully capture the heterogeneity across banks in terms of size, business models, and risk profiles. Regulatory impacts can differ based on these factors, and a more granular analysis might provide a nuanced understanding.

European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 13, Issue 5 (2023)
https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v14i1.1232
http://eelet.org.uk

6. **Dynamic Nature of Regulatory Reforms:**

Regulatory reforms are dynamic processes, subject to amendments and revisions over time. The study might not capture the full spectrum of regulatory changes or their subsequent modifications, potentially limiting the robustness of the conclusions.

7. **Macroeconomic Factors:**

The analysis may not fully account for the influence of broader macroeconomic factors on bank profitability. Economic conditions, interest rate fluctuations, and geopolitical events can contribute to variations in profitability alongside regulatory changes.

8. **Causal Inference Challenges:**

Establishing a clear causal relationship between regulatory reforms and bank profitability is inherently challenging. External factors and unobserved variables could confound the results, making it difficult to attribute changes in profitability solely to regulatory changes.

9. **Generalization to Non-Banking Institutions:**

The findings might not be directly applicable to non-banking financial institutions or other financial intermediaries, as the study focuses specifically on the banking sector.

10. **Unexplored Regulatory Dimensions:**

The study may not cover all dimensions of regulatory reforms comprehensively. Certain specific regulatory aspects impacting bank profitability may not be addressed in the current analysis.

Recognizing these limitations is crucial for interpreting the study's results accurately and encouraging further research to address these constraints and enhance the depth and breadth of understanding regarding the impact of regulatory reforms on bank profitability.

Future Scope

While this review paper has provided valuable insights into the impact of regulatory reforms on bank profitability during the post-financial crisis era, several avenues for future research emerge. Exploring these areas could contribute to a deeper understanding of the evolving dynamics within the banking sector and guide policymakers in shaping effective regulatory frameworks. The future scope of research in this domain includes:

1. **Long-Term Effects of Regulatory Changes:**

Investigate the long-term consequences of regulatory reforms on bank profitability. Analyze whether the observed impact endures over extended periods or exhibits variations across different economic cycles.

2. **Comparative Analysis Across Jurisdictions:**

Extend the analysis to encompass a broader spectrum of countries, considering diverse regulatory environments. A comparative study could reveal how banks in different regulatory landscapes respond to reforms and whether there are universal trends or country-specific nuances.

3. **Risk Management Practices:**

Delve into the risk management strategies adopted by banks in response to regulatory changes. Explore how alterations in risk-taking behavior influence profitability and stability, considering both traditional and emerging risks in the financial landscape.

4. **Technological Innovations and Digitization:**

Assess the impact of technological innovations and increasing digitization on bank profitability in the context of regulatory reforms. Explore how advancements such as blockchain, artificial intelligence, and fintech partnerships interact with regulatory frameworks to shape the banking landscape.

European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 13, Issue 5 (2023)
https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v14i1.1232
http://eelet.org.uk

5. **Macroprudential Policies:**

Investigate the effectiveness of macroprudential policies in safeguarding financial stability and mitigating risks to bank profitability. Analyze the interplay between micro and macroprudential measures in ensuring a resilient banking sector.

6. **Sustainability and Ethical Banking Practices:**

Examine the influence of sustainability initiatives and ethical banking practices on the profitability of banks post-regulatory reforms. Investigate whether aligning with environmental, social, and governance (ESG) principles contributes to long-term profitability.

7. **Customer-Centric Approaches:**

Explore how banks navigate customer-centric approaches amidst regulatory changes. Investigate the impact of enhanced customer protection measures, transparency requirements, and evolving customer expectations on bank profitability.

8. **Dynamic Regulatory Frameworks:**

Analyze the adaptability of banks to dynamically changing regulatory frameworks. Explore how regulatory agility influences banks' ability to respond to emerging challenges and capitalize on new opportunities.

9. **Impact of Global Events:**

Investigate how global events, such as geopolitical shifts, pandemics, or major economic crises, interact with regulatory reforms to shape bank profitability. Explore whether these events necessitate additional regulatory adjustments.

10. **Qualitative Perspectives:**

Complement quantitative analyses with qualitative perspectives, including interviews and case studies, to capture nuanced insights into how banks navigate regulatory changes and their impact on strategic decision-making.

By addressing these future research avenues, scholars and policymakers can contribute to a more nuanced understanding of the multifaceted relationship between regulatory reforms and bank profitability, fostering a resilient and adaptive financial sector.

Conclusion

This review paper has undertaken a comprehensive analysis of the impact of regulatory reforms on bank profitability, with a specific focus on the post-financial crisis era. The dynamic and evolving landscape of the banking sector in the aftermath of the 2008 global financial crisis has prompted policymakers and financial institutions to reassess and reform regulatory frameworks. The primary objective has been to enhance financial stability, mitigate risks, and safeguard the interests of depositors and investors.

The evidence synthesized in this review indicates a nuanced relationship between regulatory reforms and bank profitability. While regulatory measures such as increased capital requirements, enhanced risk management practices, and stringent liquidity standards have undoubtedly fortified the resilience of the banking sector, they have also imposed additional compliance costs and constraints on profitability.

The post-financial crisis era has witnessed a paradigm shift in the regulatory environment, with a growing emphasis on transparency, accountability, and prudential oversight. Basel III and other international regulatory frameworks have played a pivotal role in shaping the risk-taking behavior of banks, aligning their operations with more conservative and sustainable practices.

The empirical findings presented in this review highlight the heterogeneity in the impact of regulatory reforms across different banks and jurisdictions. Small and medium-sized banks, in particular, often face greater challenges in adapting to the new regulatory landscape due to their limited resources and economies of scale. This underscores the importance of considering the diverse nature of financial institutions when formulating and implementing regulatory policies.

While the short-term effects of regulatory reforms may include a compression of net interest margins and reduced risk appetite among banks, the long-term benefits in terms of a more resilient and stable financial system cannot be understated.

The evolving nature of regulatory reforms necessitates ongoing empirical research and vigilant monitoring to assess their effectiveness and adaptability to changing economic conditions.

In light of the evidence presented, policymakers must strike a delicate balance between safeguarding financial stability and fostering a competitive and profitable banking sector. A holistic approach that considers the unique characteristics of individual banks, coupled with continuous dialogue between regulators and industry participants, will be essential for navigating the evolving regulatory landscape.

In conclusion, this review underscores the need for a nuanced understanding of the impact of regulatory reforms on bank profitability. Future research endeavors should delve deeper into the specific mechanisms through which regulatory changes influence different aspects of bank performance, thereby contributing to the ongoing discourse on optimal regulatory frameworks for a resilient and profitable banking sector in the post-financial crisis era.

References

1. Berger, A. N., & Bouwman, C. H. S. (2013). How does capital affect bank performance during financial crises? Journal of Financial Economics, 109(1), 146-176.
2. Bikker, J. A., & Metzemakers, P. A. (2005). Bank provisioning behaviour and procyclicality. Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money, 15(2), 141-157.
3. Carow, K. A., Heron, R. A., & Neal, R. (2002). The impact of bank regulations, supervision, market structure, and bank characteristics on individual bank ratings: A cross-country analysis. Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting, 19(3), 301-318.
4. Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Huizinga, H. (1999). Determinants of commercial bank interest margins and profitability: Some international evidence. The World Bank Economic Review, 13(2), 379-408.
5. DeYoung, R., & Roland, K. P. (2001). Product mix and earnings volatility at commercial banks: Evidence from a degree of total leverage model. Journal of Financial Intermediation, 10(1), 54-84.
6. Flannery, M. J. (1994). Debt maturity and the deadweight cost of leverage: Optimally financing banking firms. The American Economic Review, 84(1), 320-331.
7. Godlewski, C. J. (2012). Bank loans for SMEs: What determines interest rates? The World Economy, 35(4), 497-513.
8. Jayaratne, J., & Strahan, P. E. (1996). The finance-growth nexus: Evidence from bank branch deregulation. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 111(3), 639-670.
9. Jiménez, G., Lopez, J. A., & Saurina, J. (2013). How does competition affect bank risk-taking? Journal of Financial Stability, 9(2), 185-195.
10. Kashyap, A. K., Rajan, R., & Stein, J. C. (2002). Banks as liquidity providers: An explanation for the coexistence of lending and deposit-taking. Journal of Finance, 57(1), 33-73.
11. Keeley, M. C. (1990). Deposit insurance, risk, and market power in banking. The American Economic Review, 80(5), 1183-1200.
12. Kim, D., & Santomero, A. M. (1988). Risk in banking and capital regulation. Journal of Finance, 43(5), 1219-1233.
13. Laeven, L., & Majnoni, G. (2003). Loan loss provisioning and economic slowdowns: Too much, too late? Journal of Financial Intermediation, 12(2), 178-197.
14. Maudos, J., & Fernández de Guevara, J. (2004). Factors explaining the interest margin in the banking sectors of the European Union. Journal of Banking & Finance, 28(9), 2259-2281.
15. Molyneux, P., & Thornton, J. (1992). Determinants of European bank profitability: A note. Journal of Banking & Finance, 16(6), 1173-1178.
16. Pasiouras, F., & Kosmidou, K. (2007). Factors influencing the profitability of domestic and foreign commercial banks in the European Union. Research in International Business and Finance, 21(2), 222-237.
17. Petersen, M. A., & Rajan, R. G. (1995). The effect of credit market competition on lending relationships. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 110(2), 407-443.
18. Sufian, F., & Chong, R. F. (2008). Determinants of bank profitability in a developing economy: Empirical evidence from the Philippines. Asian Academy of Management Journal of Accounting and Finance, 4(2), 91-112.
19. Wheelock, D. C., & Wilson, P. W. (2000). Why do banks disappear? The determinants of US bank failures and acquisitions. The Review of Economics and Statistics, 82(1), 127-138.

European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 13, Issue 5 (2023)
https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v14i1.1232
http://eelet.org.uk

20. Yildirim, H. S., & Philippatos, G. C. (2007). Macroeconomic determinants of the credit risk in the US banking industry. International Journal of Managerial Finance, 3(4), 306-325.
21. Cihak, M., Demirguc-Kunt, A., Feyen, E., & Levine, R. (2012). Benchmarking financial systems around the world. The World Bank Research Observer, 27(1), 55-92.
22. Bushman, R. M., & Williams, C. D. (2018). Bank accounting discretion during the financial crisis. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 66(2-3), 307-328.
23. Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Huizinga, H. (2000). Financial structure and bank profitability. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper, (2430).
24. Hakenes, H., & Schnabel, I. (2010). Banks without parachutes: Competitive effects of government bail-out policies. The Review of Financial Studies, 23(10), 3594-3637.
25. Hovakimian, G., & Hovakimian, A. (2009). Cash flow and investment: Evidence from internal capital markets. Journal of Financial Economics, 91(3), 339-360.