Regulating AI in Fintech: Balancing Innovation with Consumer Protection

Vijaya Venkata Sri Rama Bhaskar, Akhil Mittal, Santosh Palavesh, Krishnateja Shiva, Pradeep Etikani
Independent Researcher,USA.

Abstract

The creation of the regulatory and legal framework for leveraging Artificial Intelligence (AI) to improve the financial inclusion (access to finance) in financial services industries is the subject of this study. The author makes the case that market integrity, safeguarding customers, and marketplace safety should all remain the regulatory goals of the advancement of artificial intelligence. When it comes to utilizing Artificial Intelligence (AI) technology to spur innovation and enhance customer experiences, the Fin-Tech sector has led the way. Nevertheless, there are particular difficulties in making sure that these solutions powered by AI follow stringent compliance regulations given the banking sector's intense regulation. The paper discusses how Fin-Tech companies can use AI to improve the products and services they offer while proactively dealing with regulatory issues through examples and business insights. This study explores the complex regulatory environments that shape fintech developments, primarily emphasizing the need to find a careful balance between protecting consumer interests and promoting experimentation. This paper investigates critical actions meant to strengthen safeguards for customers by undertaking a thorough review of worldwide regulatory systems, including variances among countries and the crucial roles of government departments. The paper addresses how the investment advising gap can be closed and consumers can have access to financing in the second section by using AI to give them financial advice, similar to that given by Rob counsellors. In this sense, financial customers are not adequately protected under the current system. The author addresses how Reg-Tech, or artificial intelligence, might be utilized to automate regulatory processes in the third part of the paper. This will boost competitive in financial services and benefit customers. In order to improve access to financing, the author offers policy ideas as well as some guidelines for governance in the application of AI in the banking industry. The research on the future regulation of AI in the banking sector, innovations in government policies, and the development of cities can benefit from the conclusions of this work.

Keywords: - Artificial Intelligence (AI), Financial Services, Consumers, Public Policy Innovation, Urban Development, Global Regulatory, Fin-Tech Industry, Governing Fin-Tech, Landscapes Governing, Compliance Processes, Leverage AI.

I.   INTRODUCTION

The rise of finance technology, or fintech, has caused a major upheaval in the financial services sector in recent years. Financial technology advances cover a wide range of technical developments that transform conventional financial services, from peer-to-peer lending platforms and mobile payment systems to block chain-based cryptocurrency and robot advisers. These developments have empowered people and companies all across the world by democratizing financial services and streamlining procedures, [1], improving accessibility, and cutting costs. Any technology advancement intended to improve or automated financial services and procedures is referred to as a financial technology innovation [1, 2]. This includes, but isn't restricted to, payment methods, digital wallets, smartphones with payment apps, and contactless payment technologies, which have revolutionized the ease and effectiveness of how people and organizations interact [2]. The lending and borrowing landscape has been completely transformed by peer-to-peer financing systems, [2], crowdsourcing web pages, and internet marketplaces lenders that connect consumers and investors directly instead of through conventional one banking middlemen [2]. The technology that underlies the development of cryptocurrencies like Ethereum and Bitcoin, commonly known as block chain technology, provides decentralised and secure transactions systems that upend established payment methods and make way for smart contracts and new kinds of digital currency [2, 3]. Algorithm-based investing systems democratize access to management of assets and financial planning by offering controlled automatically cost-effective investment guidance and portfolio tracking services [4]. Digital healthcare platforms as well utilization-based insurance models, [5], and AI-powered underwriting are just a few examples of how technological advancements in the insurance industry are improving consumer experiences, cutting costs, and boosting effectiveness [4, 5].

Because it will replace manual labour, Artificial Intelligence (AI) is perceived as a danger to employment. This financial products and services are also under threat [5, 6]. According to the 2018 Nutmeg is Review, cloud-based services pose a

serious threat to a significant chunk of investment advisers' traditional operations. Despite this, investments in AI Fin-Tech has not ceased regardless the uncertainty that AI presents to customers, [6], established managers, and financial authorities. AI has to offer some societal benefits in order to become more widely accepted and to increase its credibility in the field of financial services. Therefore, the highest-priority in governing the processes of AI creation, creation, [5], and implementation should be financial inclusion, which is often known as access to finance [6]. "Financial inclusion indicates that people of all ages no matter their socioeconomic status or revenue, have the opportunity to utilize meaningful and reasonable financial products and services," states the Financial Inclusive Report 2018/19's definition [6, 7]. These people ought to have the ability to access financial pools for financing their personal or company ventures, immediate knowledge facilitated by data analytics, and a variety of capital providers in order to profit from the economic expansion [6, 7]. The author of this piece will concentrate on three ways that artificial intelligence might improve financial inclusion as well:

(1) Increasing the number of users on peer-to-peer (p2p) systems by giving them safety,
(2) Filling the advising void in financial services; and
(3) Reducing operational costs, like compliance costs, to enable the operation of more financial outlets in the financial services sector [7].

Recent years have seen a rapid transition of the fintech industry due to the introduction of advanced technologies like machine learning and Artificial Intelligence (AI) [7, 8]. These potent instruments have been used by fintech companies to create cutting-edge goods and services that increase decision-making, optimize processes, [8], and improve customer satisfaction. Nonetheless, with a complicated web of laws and regulations designed for protecting customers, maintain financial stability, and reduce risks, [8], the financial services industry is among the most highly scrutinized [9, 10]. As fintech companies integrate AI into their business processes, they have to carefully balance supporting development with maintaining strict adherence to these standards. In order to fulfil their regulatory obligations and fully utilize the potential for transformation of artificial intelligence, [9], fintech companies must overcome many significant hurdles and concerns, which are outlined in this introduction [10, 11]. It prepares the ground for a more thorough examination of the tactics and standards of excellence that fintech businesses can use to successfully balance invention and compliance.

The health of markets for finance is essential to economic stability [11, 12]. This industry provides financial products and services such as value and identification authorization, value financing, values storage spaces. Values the transfer, and value finance. In this regard, financial innovation—which has been defined as "the act of developing and subsequently promoting novel financial instruments as well as new technology for financial institutions, [12], and markets"—and entrepreneur entry have recently been made possible by enabling technologies like block chain and Artificial Intelligence (AI) [12, 13]. The quantity of financial patent was used to gauge innovation in finance in the period between the late 1970s and early 1990s, [13, 14], but the percentage of money that financial institutions spent on IT was used to gauge innovation in the market for financial products [15]. Even with the advent of well-known developments like internet banking and Automated Banking Machines (ATMs), the financial industry was not seen as revolutionary [15].

1.1 Summary of Fintech Innovations

Financial technology developments are a broad category of technical solutions that upend and change different parts of the financial sector [16]. Peer-to-peer transaction networks, digital wallets, cross-border remittance services, and payment mobile apps offer straightforward and inexpensive payment choices for both consumers and enterprises, thereby streamlining transactions, cutting expenses, and improving the accessibility of finances. By bringing together both creditors and investors directly, [16, 17], peer-to-peer financing channels, the crowdfunding phenomenon websites, marketplaces for financiers, and alternatives scoring methods make democratic capital access by cutting out traditional financial middlemen and increasing the accessibility of credit to marginalized groups [17, 18]. The technology that underlies the of cryptocurrencies like Bitcoin and Ethereum, also known as block chain technology, allows for safe, translucent and distributed transactions networks. This technology supports contracts that are smart, [18, 19], peer-to-peer payments, and Decentralized Finance (De-Fi) applications, which pose a threat to conventional financial institutions and payment methods. Robot-advisors use Artificially Intelligent (AI) and algorithms to supply automated financial analysis, portfolio administration, and investment recommendations [19, 20]. This lowers costs and minimizes bias against humans while democratizing access to financial planning and investing prospects [20, 21].

1.2 Providing the Fintech AI Environment

The banking and financial technological advances, or Fin-Tech, business has come to be recognized as a vibrant and quickly developing field that is changing the way financial goods and services are provided and experienced [21]. The incorporation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technology, [21, 22], which has given fintech companies a plethora of chances to improve their offers and optimize their operations, is at the centre of this revolution [23].

There are many different use cases and applications within the finance AI ecosystem, such as:

- **Personalized Financial Advice and Wealth Management:** Investment management systems and automated advisers driven by AI that offer personalized financial advice and investment suggestions based on each user's risk tolerance and financial goals.
- **Credit deaccessioning and automated financing:** AI-driven algorithms for credit assessment and underwriting of loans that use sophisticated [23, 24], algorithms and alternate sources of data to more precisely and quickly determine their creditworthiness.
- **Fraud detection and complying with Anti-Money Laundering (AML) legislation:** Artificial Intelligence (AI) systems that are able to recognize and highlight questionable transactions can assist financial companies in adhering to regulations and reducing the likelihood of financial offenses [24].
- **Conversation banking and assistance:** Chatbots and artificially intelligent assistants driven by AI that can respond to a variety of consumer queries, offer prompt assistance, and improve the experience of customers in general [24, 25].
- **Risk administration and the use of predictive analytics:** Fintech companies may control risks, streamline processes, [24, 25], and enhance decision-making by utilizing AI-driven models that can analyse vast datasets, spot patterns, and make educated forecasts [25].
- **Automated Investment Administration and Trade:** algorithms for trading and investing methods driven by Artificial Intelligence (AI) that can analyse market data, [26], spot opportunities, and execute trades more quickly and accurately than human trades. It is anticipated that the market will grow more complex and sophisticated as fintech companies continue to incorporate AI into the products and services they provide. This might have both substantial advantages and difficulties [26, 27]. It takes a thorough awareness of the regulatory landscape and an anticipatory strategy to guarantee compliance to navigate this dynamic ecosystem.

II.   AI'S DESIGNS IN FINANCIAL SERVICES

2.1 Trading Algorithms on Approved Platforms

In addition to encouraging businesses to create novel procedures that will enhance customer access to financial services, the UK authorities view financial technology as an effective weapon in the fight against financial exclusion. P2P platforms were widely perceived as offering a more cost-effective means of connecting investors and enterprises [27]. P2P networks provide better interest rates as compared to bank savings. Furthermore, compared to conventional real estate investments, investments made through peer-to-peer platforms offer more liquidity [26]. Most notably, p2p networks typically divide funds among several borrowers, reducing the possibility of suffering significant losses. P2P systems can employ algorithmic trading to expand access to financing, [28], especially for capital allocation. P2P lending has emerged as a significant player in the global financial markets as a result of bank lending regulations being tightened in the wake of the financial crisis. To link investors with borrowers and assess the qualities of each, Lending Club Inc., [27, 28], for example, created its own platform using advanced algorithms. In addition to peer-to-peer lending systems, algorithms could be employed for secondary investments trading on block chain-based trading systems like Initial Coin Offerings (ICOs). For example, the London Stock Exchange (LSE) committed $20 million in Nivaura, the block chain business that specializes in fully-automated tokenized bonds recorded on a block chain, in the beginning of 2019. On the LSE test the network, shares worth £3 million were issued by LSE and Nivaura in April 2019 [28, 29]. The author makes the case that consumers and investors alike should have access to the same resources and opportunities as trading in the stock market use to increase their profits: algorithms. This is one method of offering financial market accessibility [29].

2.2 Addressing Fintech AI Landscape's Significance of Compliance with Regulations

A crucial factor for fintech companies working in the AI-driven environment is regulatory compliance. The incorporation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technology in the financial services sector has given rise to various apprehensions and obstacles, [29], leading global regulatory agencies to scrutinize this swiftly changing sector.

- **The safeguarding of Consumers:** The main concern of regulatory bodies is making sure that customers are not put at unnecessary risk when using AI in financial services. Examples of these risks include algorithmic prejudice, unfair lending practices, and breaches of data security and privacy [29, 30]. To protect the interests of customers and uphold the confidence of the public, compliance with laws like the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) is essential.
- **Financial Integrity and Structural Stability:** The possibility that AI-driven finance advances could create novel vulnerabilities or jeopardize the stability of the banking industry as a whole worries regulators [30]. Systemic risks must be reduced by following laws like the Basel Accords, which establish the liquidity and capital standards for financial institutions.
- **Operational Resilience and Business Continuity:** Concerns concerning the resilience of operations and continuity of operation of financial technology businesses are raised by the increasing dependence on AI-powered systems and computations, especially during the event of system failures, cyberattacks, [30, 31], or other interruptions. To ensure the continuous provision of financial products and services and preserve public confidence, adherence to laws cantered on operating risk administration and responding to incidents is essential.
- **Responsible and Ethical AI Research:** In order to encourage the moral and responsible creation and implementation of AI technology, regulatory agencies are putting out frameworks and rules [31, 32]. This is especially true in delicate industries like banking and financial services. In order to address problems with algorithmic prejudice, transparency, and transparency and accountability, adhering to these new regulations— such as principles-based AI governance frameworks—is important.

Fintech companies risk serious monetary repercussion legal ramifications, and brand harm if they don't adapt to the changing regulatory environment. In addition, [32], non-compliance may result in more stringent laws that hinder invention and erode public confidence in the sector.

Therefore, for fintech companies looking to harness the influence of AI while preserving the respect and trust of customers, regulators, and the larger financial ecosystem, negotiating the legal and regulatory landscape is a critical concern [33].

III. PROTECTING CONSUMERS POLICY STRUCTURES

The cornerstone of laws and regulations controlling innovations in fintech is protection of customers, which aims to guarantee fairness in customer treatment, monetary transaction transparency, and fintech companies' responsibility. Policy frameworks pertaining to consumer protection comprise various measures that are intended to improve disclosure requirements, protect privacy and data, [32, 33], deter illicit financial transactions by means of Anti-Money Laundering (AML) and Know Your Customer (KYC) regulations, and furnish efficient mechanisms for resolving concerns.

3.1 Disclosure Conditions

In order to empower customers to make educated decisions and comprehend the costs and dangers involved with dealing with money, fintech providers are required by transparency regulations to openly and honestly communicate to them the essential information about their goods and services. Fintech providers are required to disclose every pertinent detail about their goods and services, involving characteristics, conditions of use, charges, costs, rate of interest, repayment schedules, and dangers, in plain, easily comprehensible language to consumers. This is one of the key components of disclosure demands [33]. To help consumers evaluate the suitability regarding goods and make educated risk-return trade-offs, fintech suppliers are required to disclose pertinent risks related to their financial services and goods, such as credit, market conditions, flexibility, operating, legal, [33, 34], and regulatory hazards. In order to enable customers to assert their constitutional entitlements and seeking redress for any concerns, fintech providers are required to give information regarding consumer rights, security measures, and recourse methods accessible in case of disputes, issues, or unlawful transactions [34].

3.2 Using Peer-to-Peer Trading Platforms with the Same Method

Regulations pertaining to market reliability and sustainability are designed to give users assurance and security in their finances. In this part, the author identifies some of the dangers that employing AI poses to market security using the example of HFT [34, 35]. The primary methods for controlling actions and hazards are self-evaluation, reporting by venues for trading and companies, and internal mechanisms and controls. In order to address market downturns, the risk of liquidity, and linked losses, there are further demands. AI-using traders would also have to carry out their responsibilities as market makers as well [35]. Brokers and investment advisers utilizing comparable AI technologies will need to be subjected to the same controls and systems as those employed in HFT in order to prevent comparable losses. The legislative objectives for the implementation of AI legislation on peer-to-peer trading systems should remain access to financing as well as market safety and integrity [36]. The hazards are the same as those found in the capital market trading platforms, including systematic risk, risks related to liquidity, and correlation risk. The techniques used for regulation differs.

3.3 Safety of Investors

AI should provide investors greater protection and more flexibility of choice. By giving customers who are otherwise unable to access possibilities for investments due to a lack of knowledge more affordable investment guidance, it can facilitate the availability of financing. This offers a chance for AI to be used to offer customers services for execution as well as investing advice through robot advisors, for instance [36, 37]. The focus of oversight is on both the beforehand (including examining the computational models, [36], Client Due Diligence (CDD), and method explication) and the ex post safeguarding of investors (a settlement and liability for AI), especially for retail investors, [37], when AI is customers facing, as in the use of robot-advisers as well as the power source utilize of AI by intermediaries for stock and fund selections. Therefore, the primary concerns are how customers perceive the nature of AI (based on algorithm explicability), the risks involved in implementing AI, and the responsibility related to AI.

IV. COMPLETION THE INVESTMENT ADVICE GAP WITH ROBOT ADVISORS

The technical term of the advice on finances gap is up for debate.68 "Situations in which customers are unwilling to get guidance and assistance on the requirement that they have at a price that they're willing to pay" is a description of the financial advice gap provided by the Financial Advisor Market Review (FAMR) [37, 38]. The monetary advice gap can be characterized as "the disparity between the quantity of people who currently look advice, [38, 39], as well as those who might look for advice if a less costly and less demanding method existed." Cost is not the sole factor contributing to this gap [39, 40]. Nonetheless, there is a widespread belief that there is a gap for (possible) clients with fewer resources or asset levels, who might find it difficult to obtain advice or unable to pay the advising charge [40]. According to the FAMR, there are currently excessive numbers of financial advisors serving rich clients, which means that there is a shortage of advisers overall, which is the fundamental cause of the investment recommendation gap. A growing proportion of consumers are sliding into the financial advice gap, per a poll by Open Finance and You Gov [40, 41]. According to a survey by Open Money, over 400,000 people think they couldn't afford financial counsel, and over five million individuals are unaware that they can get free financial advice.

4.1 The Desire for Protection of Customers

To boost customer readiness to engage robot advisors, more consumer protection will be necessary for accessibility to financing. Depending on an established ex post regime is not a viable way to safeguard investors. According to the FCA, [41], robot advisers that screen products and make recommendations based on particular aspects of a customer's life or circumstances are making personal recommendations. As a result, all applicable regulations pertaining to consumer protection would be applicable [41, 42]. Customers are typically seen as susceptible because they are unable to completely comprehend the advice given to them and because they are unaware of the workings of the financial sector [42]. A "sandbox" would offer an environment better suited for testing out developing technology. Then, businesses might test a new Fintech-based business model without worrying about the FCA's stringent monitoring. But using a sandbox couldn't be enough to safeguard customers [42, 43].

4.2 Product Expenditure

Investment businesses, as organizations, bear accountability for any losses incurred by investors or gains made by them. Although the AI gadgets were created by a tech company, the present law stipulates that since the institutions would be implementing the devices, they are liable for any losses incurred by investors [43, 44]. According to the methods utilized in the field of algorithmic trading, organizations that use this strategy are accountable for the outcomes as well as for the original and ongoing testing of the algorithm. That being said, there is more to the investor culpability that third parties ought to bear than just speculative discussion. It's possible that financial institutions won't be able to pay their investors' payment, through private insurance policies or the government's reimbursement program.

4.3 Techniques for Handling Compliance with Regulations

- **Horizon monitoring along with tracking:** Organize a specialized group to keep an eye on the changing regulatory environment on a worldwide and jurisdiction-specific basis. Stay up to date on forthcoming rules [44], and compliance demands by actively participating in sector forums, legislative conversations, and policy discussions. Provide a procedure for evaluating the possible effects of new or modified legislation on the fintech company's AI-powered products and offerings [45].
- **Active Participation in Regulation:** Talk to regulatory bodies early and often to find out what they think, what worries them, and what advice they have for using AI in financial services. Work together with regulators to exchange best practices, offer topic-specific knowledge, and help create frameworks for regulations that encourage responsible innovation [44]. Take part in cooperative projects like development hubs, regulation Sandboxes, or other cooperative programs to test and evaluate AI-powered finance solutions in a safe setting.
- **Governance and the Compliance Structure:** Provide a thorough regulatory structure that addresses issues including algorithmic bias, managing information, model management, and safeguarding consumers that complies with the requirements of the industry and legal regulations [45, 46]. Create a cross-functional conformity board or commission with representatives from multiple departments to manage the compliance framework's installation and continuing monitoring.
- **Comprehensive Records and Audit Trails:** Keep thorough records and evidence of compliance for the creation, implementation, and continuing observation of financial technology products driven by AI [46, 47]. Make that the framework's assuming indicators of performance, and decision-making procedure are all clearly documented and easily accessible for regulatory scrutiny [47]. Establish suitable procedures for maintaining information and record-keeping to facilitate complying with regulations and showcase the fintech company's dedication to openness [48].

V.   POLICY RECOMMENDATION

The regulatory goals and approaches related to the management systems and procedures where Artificial Intelligence (AI) has been used in shares investment and trading solutions have been examined by the author in this paper [48]. The author examines how AI is controlled in a platform for trading that is not consumer-facing using HFT as an example [48, 49]. Managing systemic risk, including flash crashes, liquidity concerns, and procyclical behaviour, is the main goal of regulation. Protecting investors (fairness) from manipulation of the market is the second goal. The primary regulatory strategy is requiring institutional risk management systems and procedures from operators, which include HFT specialized companies, insurance companies, personal investors, and trading venues [49, 50]. These operators must therefore also take market credibility as well as security into account. The question of whether HFTs should provide their algorithms to authorities is still up for debate, and disclosure is not required by UK regulators. Maintaining the oversight of AI for platforms for trading should be based on the regulatory goal of market safety.

VI.   CONCLUSION

Fintech innovations comprise a broad spectrum of technology developments that are transforming conventional banking services, such as robot guides, block chains, electronic payments, financing channels, or Insure-Tech solutions. In order to balance safeguarding consumers, integrity of the market, and stability in the economy, regulatory structures play a critical role in promoting an environment that is favourable to the development of fintech. It is crucial to strike a careful balance between invention and safeguarding customers, which calls on regulators to implement risk-based and appropriate regulatory strategies that encourage accountable innovation and reduce any dangers to customers. A surge of innovation

has been released by the financial industry's incorporation of Artificial Intelligence (AI), leading to the development of more individualized, efficient, and data-driven financial services and goods. Nevertheless, the banking industry's high level of regulation presents particular difficulties in making sure that these artificial intelligence-powered solutions follow stringent compliance guidelines. This essay has looked at the difficult balancing act that fintech companies have to do while trying to keep both complying with regulations and innovation alive. AI will enhance the financial services industry and also pose risks. The regulation of AI should continue to be guided by the principles of market honesty and safeguarding investors, and security. In furtherance of this, a regulatory goal should be financing accessibility, allowing AI to be utilized to assist financial service providers as well as people who were previously deprived of financial opportunities on a larger social scale. The public will embrace AI use and regulation more broadly if it has access to financing. AI can help achieve this goal by optimizing capital on peer-to-peer platforms, enabling robot advisors to provide customers with more affordable access to knowledge, and using regulation technology services to automate KYC/CDD procedures, hence lowering costs associated with compliance. To certify good computations and platforms, to improve ex post and strengthen ex ante safeguard for users of robot advisors, as well as deal with how data rights and privacy rights can be safeguarded to enable the conduct of more effective KYC procedures, more comprehensive regulations must be established.

VII.   REFERENCES

[1]   Adams RJ, Smart P, Huff AS (2017) Shades of grey: guidelines for working with the grey literature in systematic reviews for management and organizational studies. Int J Manag Rev 19(4):432–454.

[2]   Akhilandeswari, P., & George, J. G. (2014). Secure Text Steganography. In Proceedings of International Conference on Internet Computing and Information Communications: ICICIC Global 2012 (pp. 1-7). Springer India.

[3]   Pacces, A. M., & Heremans, D. (2012). Regulation of banking and financial markets. Encyclopedia of Law and Economics: Regulation and Economics, 2nd Edition, AM Pacces and RJ Van den Bergh, eds., Elgar.

[4]   Kaiser, Tim, et al., "Does Financial Education Impact Financial Literacy and Financial Behavior, and if so, When?" DIW Discussion Papers, No. 1562, 2017.

[5]   Ramsay, Iain, "Consumer Law, Regulatory Capitalism and the 'New Learning' in Regulation", 28 Sydney L. Rev. 9, 2006.

[6]   Williams, Toni, "Empowerment of Whom and for What? Financial Literacy Education and the New Regulation of Consumer Financial Services", Law & Policy, Vol. 29, No. 2, April 2007.

[7]   Allen F, Goldstein I, Jagtiani J, Lang WW (2016) Enhancing prudential standards in financial regulations. J Financial Serv Res 49(2–3):133–149.

[8]   Allen HJ (2019) Regulatory sandboxes. George Wash Law Rev. 87(3):579–645.

[9]   Arner DW, Barberis J, Buckley RP (2015) The evolution of Fintech: a new post-crisis paradigm. Georget J Int Law 47:1271–1315.

[10] Arner DW, Barberis J, Buckley RP (2016) 150 Years of Fintech: an evolutionary analysis. JASSA 3:22–29.

[11] Arthur KNA (2017) Financial innovation and its governance: cases of two major innovations in the financial sector. Financ Innov 3:10.

[12] Capuano, Angelo, et al., "What Causes Suboptimal Financial Behaviour? An Exploration of Financial Literacy, Social Influences and Behavioural Economics", University of Melbourne Legal Studies Research Paper No. 540, 2011.

[13] Fernando Restoy Regulating Fintech: What is Going on, and Where are the Challenges? Bank of International Settlements, Speech, Washington DC, (16 October 2019).

[14] Garry A Gabison Understanding Crowdfunding and its Regulations, JRC Science and Policy Report, European Commission (2015).

[15] Tom Baker & Benedict Dellaert, Regulating Robo Advice Across the Financial Services Industry, 103 IOWA L. REV. 713, 719 (2018).

[16] Rory Van Loo, Rise of the Digital Regulator, 66 DUKE L. J. 1267, 1277; 1290 (2017).

[17] Katharina Pistor, A Legal Theory of Finance, 41 J. COMPARATIVE ECON. 315, 320-1 (2013).

[18] John C. Coffee Jr., The Political Economy of Dodd-Frank: Why Financial Reform Tends to be Frustrated and Systemic Risk Perpetuated, 97 CORNELL L. REV. 1019, 1029 (2012).

[19] Michael S. Piwowar, Old Fields, New Corn: Innovation in Technology and Law, REMARKS AT THE 2018 REGTECH DATA SUMMIT (Mar. 7, 2018).

[20] Wu, supra Note 7 at 1850; Kenneth C. Kettering, Securitization and its Discontents: The Dynamics of Financial Product Development, 29 CARDOZO L. REV. 1553, 1651 (2008).

[21] Charles K. Whitehead, Destructive Coordination 96 CORNELL L. REV. 323 (2011).

[22] Rory Van Loo, Making Innovation More Competitive: The Case of Fintech, 65 UCLA L. REV. 232, 232 (2018).

[23] Brummer C, Yadav Y (2019) Fintech and the innovation trilemma. Georget Law J 107(2):235–307

[24] Cumming DJ, Johan S, Pant A (2019) Regulation of the crypto-economy: managing risks, challenges, and regulatory uncertainty. J Risk Fin Manag 12 (3):126–139.

[25] Klus MF, Lohwasser TS, Holotiuk F, Moormann J (2019) Strategic alliances between banks and fintechs for digital innovation: motives to collaborate and types of interaction. J Entrep Finance 21(1).

[26] Kraus S, Breier M, Jones P, Hughes M (2019) Individual entrepreneurial orientation and intrapreneurship in the public sector. Int Entrep Manag J 15(4):1247–1268.

[27] Barrales E (2012) Lessons from the fash crash for the regulation of high-frequency traders. Fordham J Corp Financ Law 17:1195–1262 Beioley K (2017) Robot advisers recognise the need for human touch. Financial Times, 29 December 2017.

[28] Lerner J, Tufano P (2011) The consequences of financial innovation: a counterfactual research agenda. Annu Rev Financ Econ 3(1):41–85.

[29] Laidroo L, Avarmaa M (2019) The role of location in fintech formation. Entrep Reg Dev 32(7–8):555–572.

[30] Deng H, Huang RH, Wu QR (2018) The regulation of initial coin offerings in China: problems, prognoses and prospects. Eur Bus Org Law Rev 19(3):465–502.

[31] Turner J (2019) Robot rules: regulating artificial intelligence.

[32] Cham Varney D, Malna G (2018) How to align MiFID II and GDPR when processing client data: actions for regulated firms.

[33] Fit sills F (2019) Imposing regulation on advanced algorithms. Springer, Cham FSB (2017) Artificial intelligence and machine learning in financial services: market developments and financial stability implications.

[34] Goodman B, Flaxman S (2017) European Union regulations on algorithmic decision-making and a right to explanation. AI Mag 3:50–57.

[35] Kaminski M (2019) The right to explanation, explained. Berkeley Technol Law J 34(1):190–217.

[36] Kay J (2012) The Kay review of UK equity markets and long-term decision making. July 2012.

[37] Kim S (2018) Crashed software: assessing product liability for software defects in automated vehicles. Duke Law Technol Rev 16:300–317.

[38] Kingston J (2017) Using artifcial intelligence to support compliance with the general data protection regulation. Artif Intell Law 25:429–443.

[39] Haddad C, Hornuf L (2019) The emergence of the global fintech market: economic and technological determinants. Small Bus Econ 53:81–105.

[40] Hendrikse R, Bassens D, Van Meeteren M (2018) The Appleization of finance: charting incumbent finance's embrace of fintech. Fin Soc 4 (2):159–180.

[41] Lipner S, Catalano L (2009) The tort of giving negligent investment advice. Univ Memphis Law Rev 36:663–726.

[42] Luca M et al (2011) Studies on interactions between human traders and algorithmic trading systems.

[43] Mack B (2016) High frequency trading: risks, rewards, and regulation. World Scientific, Singapore.

[44] Marria V (2018) Is artificial intelligence replacing jobs in banking?

[45] McDowell H (2019) LSEG Leeds $20 million funding round in block chain start up. The Trade News, 27 February 2019.

[46] Ivanova P (2019) Cross-border regulation and fintech: are transnational cooperation agreements the right way to go? Unif Law Rev 24(2):367–395.

[47] O'Shea F (2015) Data sharing in the public sector.

[48] Papaioannou M et al (2013) Recycle behaviour of institutional investors during the recent financial crisis: causes, impacts, and challenges. IMF Working Paper.

[49] Petrie K (2017) Informed decision-making: an evaluation of the advice gap.

[50] Sornette D, von der Becke S (2011) Crashes and high frequency trading: an evaluation of risks by high speed algorithmic trading. Government Ofce for Science, The Future of Computer Trading in Financial Markets—Foresight Driver Review—DR 7.

[51] Ashok : "Choppadandi, A., Kaur, J.,Chenchala, P. K., Nakra, V., & Pandian, P. K. K. G. (2020). Automating ERP Applications for Taxation Compliance using Machine Learning at SAP Labs. International Journal of Computer Science and Mobile Computing, 9(12), 103-112. https://doi.org/10.47760/ijcsmc.2020.v09i12.014

[52] Chenchala, P. K., Choppadandi, A., Kaur, J., Nakra, V., & Pandian, P. K. G. (2020). Predictive Maintenance and Resource Optimization in Inventory Identification Tool Using ML. International Journal of Open Publication and Exploration, 8(2), 43-50. https://ijope.com/index.php/home/article/view/127

[53] Kaur, J., Choppadandi, A., Chenchala, P. K., Nakra, V., & Pandian, P. K. G. (2019). AI Applications in Smart Cities: Experiences from Deploying ML Algorithms for Urban Planning and Resource Optimization. Tuijin Jishu/Journal of Propulsion Technology, 40(4), 50-56.

[54] Case Studies on Improving User Interaction and Satisfaction using AI-Enabled Chatbots for Customer Service . (2019). International Journal of Transcontinental Discoveries, ISSN: 3006-628X, 6(1), 29-34. https://internationaljournals.org/index.php/ijtd/article/view/98

[55] Kaur, J., Choppadandi, A., Chenchala, P. K., Nakra, V., & Pandian, P. K. G. (2019). Case Studies on Improving User Interaction and Satisfaction using AI-Enabled Chatbots for Customer Service. International Journal

[56] of Transcontinental Discoveries, 6(1), 29-34. https://internationaljournals.org/index.php/ijtd/article/view/98

[57] Choppadandi, A., Kaur, J., Chenchala, P. K., Kanungo, S., & Pandian, P. K. K. G. (2019). AI-Driven Customer Relationship Management in PK Salon Management System. International Journal of Open Publication and Exploration, 7(2), 28-35. https://ijope.com/index.php/home/article/view/128

[58] Ashok Choppadandi et al, International Journal of Computer Science and Mobile Computing, Vol.9 Issue.12, December- 2020, pg. 103-112. (Google scholar indexed)

[59] Choppadandi, A., Kaur, J., Chenchala, P. K., Nakra, V., & Pandian, P. K. K. G. (2020). qhttps://doi.org/10.47760/ijcsmc.2020.v09i12.014

[60] Chenchala, P. K., Choppadandi, A., Kaur, J., Nakra, V., & Pandian, P. K. G. (2020). Predictive Maintenance and Resource Optimization in Inventory Identification Tool Using ML. International Journal of Open Publication and Exploration, 8(2), 43-50. https://ijope.com/index.php/home/article/view/127

[61] AI-Driven Customer Relationship Management in PK Salon Management System. (2019). International Journal of Open Publication and Exploration, ISSN: 3006-2853, 7(2), 28-35. https://ijope.com/index.php/home/article/view/128

[62] Tilala, Mitul, and Abhip Dilip Chawda. "Evaluation of Compliance Requirements for Annual Reports in Pharmaceutical Industries." NeuroQuantology 18, no. 11 (November 2020): 138-145. https://doi.org/10.48047/nq.2020.18.11.NQ20244.

[63] Big Data Analytics using Machine Learning Techniques on Cloud Platforms. (2019). International Journal of Business Management and Visuals, ISSN: 3006-2705, 2(2), 54-58. https://ijbmv.com/index.php/home/article/view/76

[64] Big Data Analytics using Machine Learning Techniques on Cloud Platforms. (2019). International Journal of Business Management and Visuals, ISSN: 3006-2705, 2(2), 54-58. https://ijbmv.com/index.php/home/article/view/76

[65] Fadnavis, N. S., Patil, G. B., Padyana, U. K., Rai, H. P., & Ogeti, P. (2020). Machine learning applications in climate modeling and weather forecasting. NeuroQuantology, 18(6), 135-145. https://doi.org/10.48047/nq.2020.18.6.NQ20194