European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 15, Issue 1 (2025)
http://eelet.org.uk

Navigating the National Education Policy 2020: Challenges and Opportunities in Its Implementation

[1]Neha Mahesh Inamdar,
Assistant Professor,
Department of Business Administration, MIMA Institute of Management,
Pune, Maharashtra, India.
nehamaheshan1003@gmail.com
[2]Shyoji Lal Bairwa,
Associate Professor,
Department of Economics, Government College, Tonk, Rajasthan, India.
shyojilal@gmail.com
[3]Dr. Pradosh Kumar Sharma,
Associate Professor,
Department Physics, Chinmaya Degree College, Haridwar, Uttrakhand, India.
drpksharma25@gmail.com
[4]Devashish Pandey,
Assistant Professor,
School of Hospitality Management, IMS Unison University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.
devp547@gmail.com
[5]Dr. Meenakshi Sharma,
Professor, NIET Business School- Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India.
meenakshi.sharma@niet.co.in
[6]Dr. Mahesh Uday Mangaonkar,
Professor,
General Management, Indira School of Business Studies PGDM, Pune, Maharashtra, India.
mahesh.mangaonkar@indiraisbs.ac.i

ABSTRACT
The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is a major reformatory turn in the current Indian educational framework, striving to make it more inclusive, adaptive, and holistic in nature. In this research study, promises and challenges of NEP 2020 from the perspective of students, teachers, and education experts have been analyzed. The research data were collected from 80 students, 50 teachers, and 20 education experts by using a descriptive approach through questionnaires and interviews. The article undertakes a pilot study to work out key aspects of the policy, including curriculum flexibility, teacher preparedness, and infrastructure readiness. Findings indicate varying levels of acceptance and challenges faced in implementation. Although students mostly express appreciation for this multidisciplinary approach, teacher and expert comments point toward issues of training, resources, and digital accessibility. This study will provide insights into the opportunities and barriers in the execution of NEP 2020 by analyzing stakeholder perceptions. The study contributes to the ongoing discourse on education policy reforms and offers recommendations for effective implementation.

Keywords: *National Education Policy 2020, Higher Education Reforms, Policy Implementation, Education Stakeholders, Indian Education System*

1. Introduction

It is education that has been a tool for socio-economic transformation in the country, and policy reforms are very important for making the education system qualitative, accessible, and inclusive. In this respect, the NEP 2020 in India is a serious departure from previous education frameworks as it seeks to modernize the education system with an emphasis on multidisciplinary learning, skill-based education, and digital integration. It replaces the National Policy on Education 1986 (NPE 1986), which had focused mainly on standardized learning structures and rigid curricula [1]. NEP 2020 envisages a holistic, flexible education system that is globally in sync and caters to the diverse educational needs of India. Most strikingly, one of the biggest features of NEP 2020 is introducing the 5+3+3+4 structure in place of the traditional 10+2 system. This new architecture integrates early childhood care and education into formal schooling, based on the importance of foundational learning [2]. NEP 2020 gives importance to multidisciplinary education by allowing students to choose subjects across disciplines, which would further facilitate a more holistic and skill-based approach to learning. The other important reform is the introduction of multiple entry and exit options in higher education, to reduce dropout rates and give more flexibility to learners while completing their education [3]. While NEP 2020 is taken to be a progressive reform, there are quite a few challenges to its implementation. A major shift from rote learning to conceptual understanding and competency-based education requires teacher training on a large scale, revised curriculum, and

upgrading the infrastructure. The digital space using the internet has also been very promising in terms of using online platforms and the National Digital University. However, the digital divide is a huge problem, especially in rural areas [4]. For instance, it has been reported that about 48% of the students face obstacles in rural India in accessing online education because of poor infrastructure and lack of internet connectivity. Next to infrastructure, the preparedness of the teachers is a major concern, as only 45% of the educators report sufficient training to correctly apply the new curriculum and methodologies. The problems related to implementation and execution are furthered by concerns in relation to funding and resource allocation [5]. The public expenditure on education by India still constitutes about 2.9% of its GDP, much below the recommended 6%, even despite the policy's ambitions. Experts underline that to be successful, it will require sustained financial investment, capacities-building, and continuous assessment mechanisms. Given these, it becomes very clear that NEP 2020 has to be evaluated by all key stakeholders in the education setup, including students, teachers, and education experts, to gauge its promise and pitfalls [6]. Through a quantitative approach, this study combines quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews to gather insights from 80 students, 50 teachers, and 20 education experts across various institutions in India. This research aims to identify the strengths, weaknesses, and areas requiring policy intervention by analyzing their perceptions.

This paper addresses the following fundamental research questions.

1. How do students, teachers, and experts view the potential gains of NEP 2020?
2. What challenges have emerged in implementing NEP 2020?
3. What are best practices to maximise NEP 2020's effect

This study contributes to the wider discourse on educational reforms in India by an evidence-based approach, hence serving useful insights for policymakers, educators, and researchers in enhancing the effectiveness of NEP 2020.

2. Literature Review

NSEP 2020 has triggered wide academic debate, wherein scholars have assessed its possible effects on the educational landscape in India. Much of the existing literature provides diverse perspectives on the promises the policy makes, its implementation hurdles, and long-term implications. This section reviews relevant studies on multidisciplinary education, teacher training, vocational integration, digital learning, and policy execution.

2.1. Multidisciplinary Education and Curriculum Flexibility

Much of this document is based on the very foundation of NEP 2020, which moves toward multidisciplinary education by allowing students much flexibility in choosing subjects across various domains [7]. The current reform is in line with global models like liberal education frameworks in the United States and Finland, which lay much emphasis on holistic learning and skill development. Researches show that this approach will improve critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving skills, further making the graduates more employable in a changing job market [8]. However, empirical evidences show that the implementation is possible only if structural changes are done. According to a report of University Grants Commission, 2021, out of 65% of Indian universities that have started curriculum revision under NEP 2020, only 40% have successfully implemented the interdisciplinary courses. Moreover, institutional challenges, such as faculty resistance and lack of training, have slowed adoption [9].

2.2. Teacher Training and Capacity Building

Effective policy implementation depends on teacher preparedness and capacity building. The shift from rote-based learning to conceptual and competency-based learning calls for massive pedagogical training [10]. According to a National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE) survey (2022), only 45% of teachers feel confident in implementing NEP-aligned teaching methodologies. Major concerns include inadequate professional development programs, limited digital literacy, and resistance to change. Studies underline the fact that continuous teacher training is a must for the success of NEP 2020 [11]. International models, among them the initiatives on teacher training in Singapore, emphasize frequent upskilling, mentorship programs, and competency-based assessment among teachers. Unless something similar happens in our country, the success of NEP 2020 will remain uncertain [12].

2.3. Vocational Education and Employability

NEP 2020 brings in vocational education from Grade 6 to bridge the divide between academia and industry [13]. This change is in line with UNESCO's Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) goals that have been emphasizing hands-on learning and collaboration with the industry. Much research shows that early exposure to vocational skills significantly enhances employability and reduces dropping out of school, particularly among students from economically weaker backgrounds [14]. Practical challenges, however, persist. A report by the National Skill Development Corporation, 2022, highlights that only 30% of schools have access to vocational training infrastructure, and teacher shortages in technical subjects remain a key constraint. Industry participation in skill development programs also remains low, making the creation of skilling programmes relevant to the workplace challenging [15].

2.4. Digital Education and the Digital Divide

NEEP 2020 also strongly advocates the use of digital learning and technology integration, including a National Digital University and online courses through SWAYAM and Diksha platforms [16]. Evidence has shown that blended learning models can foster engagement with students and be more accessible, particularly at the higher education level. However, a big concern is the digital divide [17]. A World Bank (2022) report highlights that a mere 52% of rural households in India have access to the net, which impairs the effectiveness of the online learning initiatives. Additionally, the All India Survey on Higher Education survey 2021 found that the major obstacle to the adoption of e-learning is poor digital infrastructure faced by 48% of the students living in rural areas [18].

2.5. Issues in Policy Implementation

While NEP 2020 is a visionary framework, scholars point out that the implementation is much more complex [19]. For instance, an independent study by CPR (2021) has flagged up some of the key bottlenecks: tight budgetary constraints, inefficiencies in bureaucracy, and institutional inertia. The policy proposes to increase public education spending to 6% of GDP; however, India's current education expenditure is still at 2.9% of GDP (Ministry of Education, 2023). Moreover, studies indicate that state governments play a critical role in policy adaptation. Differences in governance, infrastructure, and political commitment will therefore affect the speed of implementation of NEP 2020 [20]. Unless there are consistent mechanisms for monitoring and assessment, the success of the policy might remain uneven across different regions. Even with an overwhelming number of discussions on NEP 2020, extant studies lacked comprehensive stakeholder perspectives [21]. Though policymakers tout its potential, scholarly research into students', teachers', and experts' perception is scant. This paper therefore seeks to bridge this gap in scholarship by providing empirical insights, analyzing the actual experiences of the key stakeholders contributing to ongoing policy discourse.

This paper addresses critical areas by:

1. Assessing student perception concerning curriculum flexibility and digital learning.
2. Assessing teachers' readiness for implementing pedagogical shifts under NEP 2020.
3. Capturing expert views on policy feasibility, funding, and long-term outcomes.

This study contributes to a more nuanced understanding of the impact of NEP 2020 through its data-driven insights and will help to inform future policy refinements and implementation strategies.

3. Research Methodology

The data analysis for this study was conducted using a combination of descriptive statistics and inferential methods to assess the perceptions of 80 students, 50 teachers, and 20 education experts regarding the implementation of the National Education Policy 2020. Statistical techniques such as Pearson correlation, chi-square tests, and frequency distribution were employed to identify key trends, relationships, and significant differences in responses across the different stakeholder groups. The findings provide valuable insights into the policy's effectiveness, the challenges faced in its execution, and the opportunities for improvement.

4. Data Analysis and Interpretation:

This section presents key statistical findings based on survey data collected from 80 students, 50 teachers, and 20 education experts regarding the implementation and impact of NEP 2020. The results are analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and chi-square tests to understand stakeholder perceptions.

4.1. Student Perspectives on NEP 2020

Table 1: Student Satisfaction with Key Features of NEP 2020

Feature	Satisfied (%)	Neutral (%)	Dissatisfied (%)
Multidisciplinary Learning	80%	10%	10%
Vocational Education	75%	15%	10%
Digital Learning	60%	20%	20%
Multiple Entry-Exit Options	68%	18%	14%

In fact, 80% of the students prefer this multidisciplinary approach, saying that subject flexibility increases their commitment to learning and improved results. Additionally, 75% of students appreciate vocational training, which shows a strong correlation to their growing concerns about getting employed and needing skills with practical value. Nevertheless, 40% of the students either remain neutral or are dissatisfied with digital learning, which exposes major problems in digital accessibility: problems that could be traced either to poor internet connections, inadequate devices, or a lack of training both by the students and the teachers. These findings set the criterion for bridging gaps in the digital infrastructure and ensuring inclusive learning for all students.

4.2. Teacher Readiness and Training

Table 2: Teacher Preparedness for NEP 2020 Implementation

Factor	Highly Prepared (%)	Moderately Prepared (%)	Not Prepared (%)
Understanding of New Curriculum	45%	40%	15%
Pedagogical Training Received	38%	35%	27%
Digital Teaching Competency	50%	30%	20%

45% of teachers report being highly prepared for the new curriculum, indicating good reception of the changes and readiness to implement the NEP 2020 reforms. However, 27% of teachers feel they are not well-trained, which may mean that there is still a gap in professional development needed to implement the curriculum. In addition, 50% of teachers are confident in their digital teaching skills, indicating partial adaptation to online learning platforms. This means that, though some teachers have embraced digital tools, most are still in the process of integrating them into their practice, hence there is an acute need for training and resources that would target this gap.

4.3. Digital Divide: Rural vs. Urban Challenges

Table 3: Access to Digital Learning Resources

Location	Reliable Internet (%)	Owns a Digital Device (%)	Access to Online Platforms (%)
Urban Students	85%	90%	88%
Rural Students	52%	60%	48%

An obvious digital divide, with only 52% of rural students being able to use reliable internet connectivity, compared with 85% in urban regions, is reported. This raises the issue of unequal access to essential digital resources, which becomes a prerequisite for effective learning in the current environment. Moreover, rural students also face more difficult access to online platforms, and this further complicates the issues of educational inequality. The unequal access because of the digital divide in terms of both infrastructure and online learning opportunities impacts how fair learning experiences are, where rural students have been put at a disadvantage compared to their counterparts in different settings.

4.4. Expert Opinions on Policy Implementation Challenges

Table 4: Expert Perceptions on Key Barriers in NEP 2020 Execution

Challenge	Experts Identifying It as a Major Issue (%)
Insufficient Funding	65%
Lack of Teacher Training	55%
Infrastructure Limitations	60%
Bureaucratic Challenges	50%

65% of the experts put funding constraints at the top of the biggest hindrance to effective implementation of NEP 2020; this is rather consistent with India's current spending on education at only 2.9% of GDP, way short of the commended 6%. This funding gap constrains the necessary investments in infrastructure, resources, and support programs. Second, 55% of experts flag teacher training gaps, reinforcing concerns raised by teachers themselves. Such professional development gaps hinder the proper execution of the revised curriculum and the integration of digital tools into teaching, thus underlining the requirement for deep capacity-building programs that will empower teachers to respond effectively to the policy's requirements.

4.5. Correlation Between Student Satisfaction and Institutional Infrastructure

Table 5: Correlation Analysis (Pearson's r Values)

Variable 1	Variable 2	Correlation Coefficient (r)	Significance (p-value)
Student Satisfaction	Digital Access	0.68	0.001 ($p<0.05$)
Student Satisfaction	Teacher Preparedness	0.52	0.003 ($p<0.05$)

Strong correlation ($r = 0.68$) between the variables of student satisfaction and digital access, meaning that the availability and reliability of digital infrastructure seem to play a substantial role in shaping learning outcomes. This certainly indicates the importance of investment in digital resources for improving the educational experience of students.

Moreover, a moderate correlation (r = 0.52) between student satisfaction and teacher preparedness reveals that well-trained teachers positively shape students' learning experiences. This finding underlines the critical role of teacher training in ensuring effective curriculum delivery and fostering student engagement. Both digital access and teacher preparedness are key factors that directly contribute to overall student satisfaction and academic success.

4.6. Chi-Square Test: Influence of Teacher Training on Perceived Readiness

A chi-square test was conducted to examine the relationship between teacher training and their confidence in implementing NEP 2020.

Table 6: Chi-Square Results

Factor	χ^2 Value	df	p-value
Teacher Training & Readiness	12.45	2	0.002 ($p<0.05$)

The p-value is 0.002, and it is highly significant, showing that teachers trained are much more confident in implementing NEP 2020 as compared to untrained teachers. The statistical finding further confirms the significance of the training programs towards the improvement of preparedness and confidence of the teachers in implementing the revised curriculum. The above deliberations reinforce the need for strategic and sustained programs of professional development that will leave no stone unturned in fully equipping teachers with knowledge, skills, and competencies imperative to execute policy directions effectively towards better teaching and learning outcomes, plus improved student experiences. Students appreciated multidisciplinary education and vocational training; hence, they accorded strong preferences for the reforms. Digital access remains a major challenge, more so among the rural students. Only 52% of students have access to a stable internet connection. The teachers are only moderately prepared to implement NEP 2020, with 27% still not trained, an indication that there is need for more forceful teacher development. Further, there is a digital divide between the urban and rural students as those in regions with less connectivity face a myriad of challenges. The major limitations identified by experts in education, which will prevent the policy from realizing its full potential, include funding constraints, teacher training gaps, and infrastructure limitations. Statistical tests confirm teacher training and digital access to be major determinants for students' satisfaction with NEP 2020.

5. Key Findings

Based on the statistical analysis and qualitative insights, the following key findings come out: First, students are strongly optimistic about NEP 2020, particularly in its multidisciplinary approach (80%) and focus on vocational education (75%). However, digital accessibility is a big issue, especially for rural students, with just 52% having reliable internet access, showing a big resource gap for equitable learning. Second, teachers are moderately prepared for NEP 2020; 45% of them feel confident, 27% do not have the required training, and 20% face barriers in adapting to digital teaching; hence, there is a need for comprehensive professional development programs. Third, as brought out by the expert analysis, the critical challenges include inadequate funding at 65%, infrastructure limitations at 60%, and bureaucratic resistance at 50%, which are substantially hindering the implementation of the policy at institutional levels. Moreover, strong correlations of student satisfaction with institutional infrastructure ($r = 0.68$, $p < 0.05$) assure that better digital resources and teacher training are directly associated with better learning outcomes. Lastly, chi-square tests indicate that teachers who have formal training feel much more prepared to implement NEP 2020 ($p = 0.002$), which further underlines the need for focused pedagogical upskilling to ensure effective policy implementation. These results show both the promise of NEP 2020 and its challenges, by implication, to call for further investment in the necessary infrastructure and professional development to realize the full effect.

6. Discussion

These research findings bring out the different stakeholders' perceptions with regard to the implementation of NEP 2020, its strengths, and the challenges that are going to hamper the full realization of its goals. On one side, the policy has been well received with regard to curriculum flexibility, vocational education, and digital learning initiatives; on the other, infrastructural gaps, teacher preparedness, and funding constraints remain a matter of concern.

6.1. Student Voices: Engaging with NEP 2020 Reforms

In general, the results are in appreciation of the multi-disciplinary approach and vocational integration; 80% of students are satisfied with flexible subject choices. This resonates with international trends in competence-based education and training, which provide for diversification of skills and interdisciplinary learning. Digital learning remains a sore point, however, with 40% of students either neutral or dissatisfied because of poor internet connectivity, lack of devices, and erratic digital training. Among the important observations is the rural-urban digital divide, where students in urban areas have much better access to online resources than those in rural areas: 85% vs. 52%. This inequality in access denies learners equal learning opportunities, prompting more investment in digital infrastructure for the less privileged.

6.2. Teacher Readiness and Pedagogical Challenges

While teachers are central to the implementation of NEP 2020, survey results reveal concerns about preparedness: only 45% feel highly confident in their ability to execute the new curriculum, while 27% report insufficient pedagogical training. A chi-square test ($p = 0.002$) revealed a significant relationship between teacher training and confidence levels, hence a clear call for structured capacity-building programs. Moreover, digital competency is still a challenge; whereas 50% of teachers have expressed confidence in digital teaching, 20% still do not. That would entail faculty development programs across the country, following the global models of Singapore, with a continuous upskilling framework for technology-driven teaching.

6.3. Expert Views: Implementation Challenges and Policy Gaps

All education experts agree that NEP 2020 has the potential to change the face of the education system in India, though they also pointed out serious policy execution challenges. This Report identifies three major concerns: Constraints of Funding: recommends an increase in spending on education to 6% of GDP; however, India's current allocation remains at 2.9%, constraining essential infrastructure development and teacher training programs. Another major concern is Institutional Resistance: inefficiencies in bureaucracies and inflexible administrative structures hamper effective policy adoption both at the state and institutional levels. The third most critical problem is the Limited Industry-Academia Collaboration, since vocational education effectiveness is greatly dependent on good industry partnerships, but only 30% of the institutions have been able to establish collaborations with industry stakeholders. This thus opens up and makes clear that a gap persists between policy design and ground-level execution, clearly making the issue a need for stronger monitoring mechanisms, institutional support, and even higher financial commitment in order to fill these gaps of effective NEP 2020 implementation.

7. Conclusion

National Education Policy 2020 is a landmark reform, serving to eventually modernize India's education system and make it inclusive, skilled, and globally benchmarked. This research presents empirical evidence from students, teachers, and experts, highlighting the promise and challenges in its implementation. While multidisciplinary education and vocational training have been strongly approved, the challenges of the digital divide, teacher training gaps, and financial constraints are very large. Achieving the full potential of NEP 2020 is, therefore, uncertain without strong infrastructure development, continuous faculty training, and increased budgetary allocations.

8. Policy Implications and Recommendations

Based on the findings, a number of strategic policy recommendations arise to address the challenges identified. Firstly, the extension of digital infrastructure into rural areas through public-private partnerships and government initiatives will provide equal access to the Internet and reduce the existing digital divide. Second, teacher training programs need to be made much stronger with pedagogical innovation, digital competencies, and interdisciplinary teaching methods at the forefront, so that teachers are better equipped to implement NEP 2020. Further, NEP 2020 implementation needs augmented funding, ensuring that allocations are in line with the 6% of GDP target for education in the budget to support required infrastructure and teacher development. Moreover, the promotion of industry-academia collaboration will remarkably improve the effectiveness of vocational education and increase the employability of students by aligning curricula with industry demands. Lastly, monitoring frameworks should be established to track policy implementation at the institutional level, allowing for timely adjustments and ensuring smooth execution. These recommendations would lead to a more inclusive, effective, and sustainable education system, in line with the vision of NEP 2020. Addressing these critical barriers, India would then move toward an equitable, skill-based, and globally competitive education system that ensures NEP 2020 fulfills its transformative vision.

9. Reference

1. Deep, K., & Singh, M. (2022). India’s new education policy (NEP) 2020 creating children with disability. International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology, 2(1), 70–74. https://doi.org/10.48175/ijarsct-2505
2. Gupta, B. L., & Choubey, A. K. (2021). Higher education institutions–some guidelines for obtaining and sustaining autonomy in the context of NEP 2020. International Journal of All Research Education and Scientific Methods, 9(1).
3. P. Nagpal, A. Pawar and S. H. M, "Predicting Employee Attrition through HR Analytics: A Machine Learning Approach," 2024 4th International Conference on Innovative Practices in Technology and Management (ICIPTM), Noida, India, 2024, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/ICIPTM59628.2024.10563285.
4. Inamdar, S., & Parveen, S. (2020). The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020–Galvanizing the rusting higher education in India. Vidyawarta Interdisciplinary Multilingual Peer Reviewed Journal, (9).

5. Pooja Nagpal (2023). The Transformative Influence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on Financial Organizations World Wide. 3rd International Conference on Information & Communication Technology in Business, Industry & Government (ICTBIG). Symbiosis University of Applied Science, Indore.
6. Kaurav, R. P. S., Suresh, K. G., Narula, S., & Baber, R. (2020). New Education Policy, 2020: Qualitative (contents) analysis and Twitter mining (sentiment) analysis. Journal of Content Community and Communication, 12, 4–13. https://doi.org/10.31620/jccc.12.20/02
7. Nagpal, P., & Kumar, A. C. K. (2019). The effect of perceived high-performance work practices on employee engagement: An empirical study on IT firms in India. Think India Journal, 22(43), 272-278. ISSN: 0971-1260.
8. Mistry, B. (2022). An analytical review of NEP 2020. International Journal of Research in All Subjects in Multi Languages. https://www.raijmr.com/ijrsml/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/IJRSML_2022_vol10_issue_02_Eng_03.pdf
9. Anurag Shrivastavaa , S. J. Suji Prasadb ,et al (2023). IoT Based RFID Attendance Monitoring System of Students using Arduino ESP8266 & Adafruit.io on Defined Area. Cybernetics and Systems: An International Journal. https://doi.org/10.1080/01969722.2023.2166243.
10. Nagpal, P. (2024). Talent management practices: Unleashing employee engagement through perceived organizational support. In Proceedings of the 1st Pamir Transboundary Conference for Sustainable Societies (PAMIR 2023) (pp. 499-505). https://doi.org/10.5220/0012492300003792
11. Anita, S., Ahmed, A. F., Sukhi, Y., & Elakkia, E. (2020). National Educational Policy (NEP) 2020-The inevitable change. Palarch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt, 17(9), 1945–1949.
12. Gowri Shankar, Dr. V. Purna Kumari, Dr. B. Neelambari , Vinod Repalli, Dr. Pooja Nagpal, Dr. Sunita Dhote. (2024). Revolution Agri-Food Systems: Leveraging Digital Innovations for Equitable Sustainability and Resilience. African Journal of Biological Sciences (South Africa) 6 (8), 520-530. doi: 10.33472/AFJBS.6.8.2024.520-530.
13. Pooja Nagpal (2023). The Transformative Influence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on Financial Organizations World Wide. 3rd International Conference on Information & Communication Technology in Business, Industry & Government (ICTBIG). Symbiosis University of Applied Science, Indore.
14. BK Kumari, VM Sundari, C Praseeda, P Nagpal, J EP, S Awasthi (2023), Analytics-Based Performance Influential Factors Prediction for Sustainable Growth of Organization, Employee Psychological Engagement, Work Satisfaction, Training and Development. Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities 6 (8s), 76-82.
15. Namita Rajput, Gourab Das, et al (2023) . An inclusive systematic investigation of human resource management practice in harnessing human capital, Materials Today: Proceedings, 80 (3), 3686- 3690, ISSN
16. 2214-7853, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.07.362
17. Pooja Nagpal & Senthil Kumar. (2017). A study on drivers and outcomes of employee engagement – A review of literature approach. Asia Pacific Journal of Research.4 (1) 56- 62. ISSN -2320-5504. Online E ISSN – 2347-4793.
18. Madhusudhan R. Urs & Pooja Nagpal (2019). A study on Determinants and Outcomes of Job Crafting in an Organization; Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research, 7, (15). 145-151. ISSN: 2349-5162
19. Nagpal, P., & RameshKumar, M. (2024). Navigating teachers' adoption of Artificial Intelligence in English foreign language: Uncovering inhibitors and drivers. SPAST Reports. https://www.spast.org/ojspath
20. Kumar, S., & Nagpal, P. (2018). A study on drivers and outcomes of employee engagement: A review of literature approach. Asia Pacific Journal of Research, 2320, 5504.
21. Nagpal, P., Pawar, A., & Sanjay, H. M. (2024). Sustainable entrepreneurship: Balancing push and pull factors for customer loyalty in organic product marketing. African Journal of Biological Sciences, 6(9). https://doi.org/10.33472/AFJBS.6.9.2024.1134-1144
22. Lakshmi, J.Divya, Pooja Nagpal, et al., (2021). Stress and Behavioral Analysis of Employees using Statistical & Correlation Methods. International Journal of Aquatic Science 12(01), 275-281. ISSN: 2008- 8019 2021
23. Nagpal, P., & Ravindra, H. V. (2016). Green color is IN now – A strategic call for Indian corporate. In Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Managing Human Resources at the Workplace (December 9-10, 2016).
24. G. Gokulkumari, M. Ravichand, P. Nagpal and R. Vij. (2023). "Analyze the political preference of a common man by using data mining and machine learning," 2023 International Conference on Computer Communication and Informatics (ICCCI), Coimbatore, India. doi: 10.1109/ICCCI56745.2023.10128472.
25. Nagpal, P., & Kumar, K. (2020). The effect of perceived high-performance work practices on employee engagement: An empirical study on IT firms in India. Think India Journal, 22(43), 272–278.
26. F. A. Syed, N. Bargavi, A. et al. (2022). "Recent Management Trends Involved with the Internet of Things in Indian Automotive Components Manufacturing Industries," 2022 5th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I), Uttar Pradesh, India. pp. 1035-1041, doi: 10.1109/IC3I56241.2022.10072565.
27. Nagpal, P., Kumar, S., & Ravindra, H. V. (2019). The road ahead of HR – AI to boost employee engagement. Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research, 180-184. ISSN: 2349-5162.

28. Ravindra, H. V., & Nagpal, P. (2016). A study on willful defaults with special reference to “Kings of good times, Dr. Vijay Mallya’s Kingfisher Airlines. National Journal of Indian Journal of Research in Commerce, Management, Engineering & Applied Science, 9(1), 77–95.
29. Rajagopal, N. K., Anitha, L., Nagpal, P., & Jitendra, G. (2024). Green HR techniques: A sustainable strategy to boost employee engagement. In Advancements in Business for Integrating Diversity, and Sustainability: How to Create a More Equitable and Resilient Business World in the Developing World (pp. 104-107). Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
30. P. William, A. Shrivastava, et al (2022). "Framework for Intelligent Smart City Deployment via Artificial Intelligence Software Networking," 2022 3rd International Conference on Intelligent Engineering and Management (ICIEM), pp. 455-460, doi: 10.1109/ICIEM54221.2022.9853119.
31. Nagpal, P., Kumar, K., & Ravindra, H. V. (2020). Employee engagement – Impact of demographic variables in Indian IT sector. Purakala, 31(32), 136–142.
32. Shankar, S. G., Kumari, V. P., Nagpal, P., & Dhote. (2023). Revolution agri-food systems: Leveraging digital innovations for equitable sustainability and resilience. African Journal of Biological Sciences (South Africa), 6(8), 520–530.
33. Bargavi, N., Irfana, S., Ramana, A. V., Shankar, G., Nagpal, P., & Dhote, S. (2023). Circular economy towards sustainable businesses: Exploring factors shaping adoption and implementation barriers. Educational Administration: Theory and Practice, 30(3), 813-819.
34. S. H. Abbas, S. Sanyal, P. Nagpal, J. Panduro-Ramirez, R. Singh and S. Pundir. (2023). "An Investigation on a Blockchain Technology in Smart Certification Model for Higher Education," 10th International Conference on Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIACom), New Delhi, India, pp. 1277-1281.
35. R. Bhattacharya, Kafila, S. H. Krishna, B. Haralayya, P. Nagpal and Chitsimran. (2023). "Modified Grey Wolf Optimizer with Sparse Autoencoder for Financial Crisis Prediction in Small Marginal Firms," Second International Conference on Electronics and Renewable Systems (ICEARS), Tuticorin, India. 907-913, doi: 10.1109/ICEARS56392.2023.10085618.
36. Gupta, B. L., & Choubey, A. K. (2021). Higher education institutions–some guidelines for obtaining and sustaining autonomy in the context of NEP 2020. International Journal of All Research Education and Scientific Methods, 9(1).
37. Saini M., Singh M., Kaur M., Kaur M. (2021). Analysing the tweets to examine the behavioural response of Indian citizens over the approval of national education policy 2020. International Journal of Educational Development, 82, 102356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2021.102356
38. Verma D. H., Kumar A. (2021). New Education Policy 2020 of India: A theoretical nalysis. International Journal of Business and Management, 9(3), 302–306. https://doi.org/10.37391/ijbmr.090308