European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 15, Issue 2 (2025)
http://eelet.org.uk

Ethics and Accessibility of Immersive Media Creating an Inclusive Virtual Experiences

Ms. Harmanpreet
Chandigarh Group of Colleges Jhanjeri, Mohali, Punjab, India - 140307, Chandigarh School of Business, Department of Commerce

Abstract
Recent advancements in immersive media technology, like virtual and augmented reality, have opened the door to inclusive virtual experiences. But, along with these possibilities come ethical and accessibility issues that need careful consideration. This paper seeks to examine the ethical stance and use of immersive media in order to promote inclusive design by raising issues of accessibility. This study synthesizes recent research on ethics surrounding immersive technology, accessibility best practices, and the literature on inclusive case studies in virtual experiences to propose actionable insights and recommendations for practitioners and policymakers.

Based on the integration of current studies specific to ethical implications in immersive media, inclusive and accessible standards, and studies on an example of virtual experience, this research outlines significant challenges and issues-technological bias, lack of physical and cognitive access, as well as ethical challenges on data privacy and autonomy of users. The study emphasized the need to address these issues through proactive and well-informed design methodologies, collaboration within the industry, and policy making.

Therefore, the results had provided concrete recommendations for developers, practitioners, and policymakers mandating accessibility be injected into the design and development processes within immersivemedia, as well as strategies promoting making ethi cal choices. Ultimately, this paper puts a critical emphasis on the necessity of implementing ethical accessible designs side by side with the advancement of technology to ensure that benefits are dispersed equitably throughout society from future developments in immersive media.

Keywords:
Accessibility, Ethics, Virtual Reality & Augmented Reality, Inclusive Virtual Experiences, Immersive Media

Introduction
The ubiquitous integration of Virtual Reality and other immersive media technologies now offers, for the first time, virtual engagement with physical presence and broader accessibility than ever before. Users can experience virtual worlds that closely mirror or expand upon real-life settings, whether in education, healthcare, or cultural engagement. For example, VR is used in medical training to offer interactive, risk-free simulations, providing practical experience without requiring a physical environment (Dittrich et al., 2023). Similarly, museums and cultural institutions use VR to create digital versions of artifacts, enabling individuals from diverse backgrounds to access cultural experiences (Giovannini & Bono, 2023).

However, the highly immersive nature of VR raises ethical questions surrounding user consent, data privacy, and the psychological impacts of virtual presence. The rise of immersive media

brings new ethical issues like data ownership, consent, and privacy, as detailed behavioural and biometric data is collected (Penjišević et al., 2023). Ethical frameworks are essential to ensure users are aware of data collection practices and protected from potential exploitation.

Beyond data privacy, the psychological impact of prolonged exposure to virtual environments is also a major concern. Highly immersive experiences may blur the line between virtual and real-life interactions, potentially affecting users' cognitive awareness and emotional well-being. Carneiro et al. (2023) emphasize that VR developers must consider these cognitive and emotional impacts, especially in educational and therapeutic settings, as intense immersion can influence users' mental health. (Carneiro et al., 2023).

Accessibility Challenges in Immersive Media:
Accessibility in immersive media goes beyond just physical access to the technology. It involves designing VR experiences to accommodate a full range of abilities, including those with visual, auditory, and mobility impairments. Research by Tasca et al. (2023) outlines specific guidelines for creating VR interfaces that support users with visual impairments, suggesting adjustments in visual and auditory cues to improve usability for all demographics. (Tasca et al., 2023). Likewise, Yang et al. (2023) highlights the importance of adapting VR environments to the physical surroundings of users, as well as to their cognitive and sensory needs. (Yang et al., 2023).

The challenge of accessibility is further complicated by the high costs and technological complexity of VR systems, which can limit access for economically disadvantaged users. As Zallio and Clarkson (2022) point out that addressing these socio-economic barriers is necessary for making immersive media environments inclusive. This includes developing affordable and flexible VR systems to reach individuals who may not otherwise afford high-end technology, thereby benefiting underrepresented and economically disadvantaged groups. (Zallio & Clarkson, 2022).

Ethical Issues Regarding the Use of Immersive Media:
The interest in immersive media such as virtual reality (VR) can create a realistic user experience modifying the way people interact with the environment. As much as this has its merits, ethical issues in regards to consideration of users' health, privacy and safety of their data come into play. As users become more engaged in virtual spaces, they also become more vulnerable to emotional as well as psychological influence which may be deliberate or otherwise. Carneiro et al. (2023) issue a caveat that users' mental perspectives can be radically changed only over a short period of time since such experiences are highly engaging. This is even more so in the education and therapy related VR experiences where users can get attached to unreal worlds which are designed for them, and this can be dangerous and even damaging if such users are not carefully monitored (Carneiro et al., 2023).

Data Privacy and Security Issues:
Data privacy is one of the major ethical concerns regarding the use of VR - particularly immersive VR which inherently requires the collection and processing of massive amounts of data from users in order to create tailored and engaging experiences. The data in question may even encompass personal data that users would not usually consider disclosing, such as their positions in space, biological readings as well as eye movements. Immersive media platforms, Penjišević et al. (2023) argue must be established with effective parameters that would control

the use of such data and proper guidelines on the usage of such data. Since the security of such data is hard to ensure, there is a high risk of breach of this information leading to access and use that is not authorized. This would hurt the society, and in most cases, compromise the trust of the users (Penjišević et al., 2023).

Psychological Effects and Cognitive Manipulation:
Many ethical concerns also arise from the psychological effects of immersion which has become the practice of the day. This is because VR technology's ability to stir emotional and behavioural changes may have some repercussions. For example, extended use of immersive conditionings may impair the user's sense of 'real', creating a state of cognitive confusion or even numbness towards actual events. As such, (Carneiro et al., 2023) argued that virtual reality in education and treatment use should contain graphics and video features that do not evoke excessive tension or emotional drain in the users. They propose the introduction of means to anticipate and control the levels of VR activities to protect the users' rehabilitative capacities while ensuring the educational or therapeutic interventions are met.

Striking a Compromise between Realism and User Safety:
In the design of VR application, real-life scenarios often take precedence such that it may achieve a certain level of comfort to the user who is using the desk, but against this, the risk posed by the user's immersion should be taken into much consideration. It is true that deeper immersion simulation using virtual reality can create an impactful experience, however such attempts can be very ethical ethically if such experiences trigger overwhelming emotions. For instance, therapeutic interventions that use VR are advantageous in such a context to treat the patient as the phobias are exposed within a certain range that is controllable. Yet, in the absence of sufficient precautions, such simulators might inadvertently aggravate existing psychological trauma. For instance, (Carneiro et al., 2023) suggest that technologies that allow users to customize their experience or include content warnings be integrated into VR applications as "ethical brakes," so that the level of presence and immersion one is subject to is relative to that user's threshold.

The Role of Inclusive Virtual Spaces in Cultural and Social Contexts:
The use of immersive media can encourage inclusivity since it enables a wider spectrum of people to engage in cultural and social experiences that were otherwise unreachable due to distance. Mediascape XR, for instance, enables users to digitally engage with cultural heritage objects in the same virtual space, amid participants from different ethnic groups for cultural education (Reimat et al., 2022). Likewise, Elena and Bono (2022) note that the use of VR within the cultural context democratises the accessibility of cultural treasures hence available to a wider range of people (Giovannini & Bono, 2023).

Within education, the trend of creating immersive environments has been shown to be inclusive in that it meets different styles of learning and can cater for the needs of the disabled. One of the research projects investigate the existence of VR focused on refining digital competency and counteracting ethnic – racial prejudices especially in places with no other forms of such help (Czeszak & Tori, 2023).

Research Question
The primary guiding issue in this research is: **How to design immersive media without compromising any ethical standards and preserving the inclusiveness of the experience**

within virtual environments? This research addresses the question of how VR and AR solutions can be employed with consideration for users' rights, catering to users' diversity and ethical principles. In order to respond to this question, it will be necessary to analyse the existing ethical concerns, assess the existing rules in respect of overcoming accessibility issues and explain the importance of inclusivity in user experience enhancement so that immersive technologies do not become divisive or harmful but rather serve the purpose of benefiting everybody.

Purpose And Objectives

This research is aimed at identifying and articulating the best ways, practices, at creating and sustaining an ethical and inclusive immersive practice. In order to do this, the study is based on these following objectives:

1. To assess the current state of ethical and accessibility issues in immersive media
2. To determine Major Issues in Achieving Inclusivity in VR and AR Environments

Review Of Literature

❖ Penjišević et al. (2023) emphasised on ethical issues within virtual media that need to be dissected in detail. One such factor is the protection of the users' data and the establishment of trust in virtual communities. Above all, their work demonstrates the interrelation of these two extremes, arguing that the intensifying concerns regarding privacy even in immersive media are not an isolated matter but one aspect of a larger principal framework. Nonetheless, the present research deals with the extent of ethical dilemmas but fails to propose anything concrete that designers and policy-makers could adopt to avoid such risks. Finally, and for this reason, it also indicates a gap in the research on how in-depth environments can be designed with ethical strategies in place and still not alter user experience for the worse.

❖ Fitriani et al. (2023) focused attention to the issues of privacy in the digital space, especially the unauthorized circulation of pictures and videos. It also illustrates how use of immersive media and its interaction within the user space only compounds to this risk, considering all the personal information that is put at stake during its use. The researchers emphasize that both users and platforms are responsible for the observance of ethical norms. However, there is a great deal more attention paid to the effects of transgressions as opposed to ways of avoiding them, which raises the issue of why there are no preventive techniques such as those like encryption or permission-based sharing that seek to protect the user's ingestion of information on immersive media sites.

❖ Mukhametzhanova and Kostina (2023) investigated the ethical concerns regarding childhood-oriented media content, underlining the fact that it is the responsibility of such platforms to ensure the morality of the entertainment they provide. Their work focuses how immersive technologies that offer greater substance can enhance specific content even though it is beneficial. This provision, however, is a step behind; it is very okay but still mainly focuses on media principles and centres on what content should portray irrespective of new technologies already in use that include VR and AR technologies which bring up different issues in the both interactivity and emotionality of content.

❖ Roberts et al. (2022) presented the "Practicing Ethics Guides", that aim at contextualizing the ethical aspects. The latter guides are geared toward inclusivity and reflexivity in order to eliminate biases present in immersive environments that tend to alienate certain groups. The strength of this work is the fact that it can be employed by professionals in

different areas and therefore, it is an asset to designers and researchers. Nevertheless, the guide does not include in-depth instances or case studies to illustrate its practical use within the immersive media sphere especially when tackling the specific aspects of motion sickness or spatial audio design for the disabled.

❖ Romero Fresco and Dangerfield (2022) criticized the universality paradigm in the context of accessibility by arguing that reaching out to disabled individuals through one-size-fits-all solutions often alienate them instead. Their position is that approaches or solutions should be more personal and need-based rather than generic and oversimplified. Their argument is particularly fitting for immersive media, where the intricate aspects of VR and AR technology can further increase the level of exclusion. Though the argument is solid, the criticism would have been more complete if some practical devices or frameworks were introduced to the developers showing how inclusive design principles can be implemented in practice.

❖ Zahalka and Schmucker (2021) considered the ethical aspects when geo-blocking content which is digitally distributed. Such research is pertinent to immersive media as such virtual content can also be geographically restricted. Thus, by suggesting criteria that can validate geo-blocking on moral grounds, The focus of the authors is on a very important issue in the context of digital access. Still, they do not examine how these principles can be implemented in the case of immersive public platforms that exist globally. As a result, there is little understanding of how regions in the context of VR/AR usage, can be made accessible to all irrespective of the geo-political boundaries.

❖ Brescia-Zapata (2021) and Kramer (2021) associated moral dilemmas with larger cultural or political contexts. In their analyses, they claim that immersive media is not free from the influence of the socio-political forces. It is considered essential in these works that the developers do not ignore the appropriate cultures in the making of expansive realities. Nevertheless, their recommendations are mostly abstract, and practical illustrations to show how cultural diversity can be included into the ethics of immersive media are needed.

❖ Romero Fresco (2022) emphasized collaboration between filmmakers and translators to enhance accessibility in creative media. While their framework is focused on filmmaking, its principles can be extended to VR/AR content creation. The study provides valuable insights into making media more accessible but could delve deeper into how immersive technologies can tackle specific challenges, such as adapting user interfaces for people with varying physical abilities.

❖ Raguindin et al. (2021) offered fundamental understandings of how inclusive environments can be developed through focus on teamwork, communication, and appreciation of differences. While their study is limited to educational contexts, those principles can be modified and applied to VR and AR environments as well in order to promote inclusiveness. This research demonstrates how important it is to comprehend various cultural backgrounds and personalities in order to establish welcome virtual environments. Still, it leaves unanswered questions regarding the application of such principles within technology-based contexts or through immersive media systems with heterogeneous users thus paving way for the inaction of the principles.

❖ Geerts et al. (2021) focused on the relevance of the inclusive immersive technologies. The authors emphasize how essential it is to cater to the various users with different needs while designing VR and AR environments including those who are physically impaired. Although their findings provide a clear roadmap towards the application of inclusive design tenets, their critique however centres on generalized recommendations and is devoid of any real statistics or illustrative scenarios to show how these strategies have worked in real life. This makes it less useful to developers looking for helpful information that can be implemented within a short period of time.

❖ Felton-Dansky et al. (2023) examined inclusion within the context of social VR and more specifically within the scope of theatrical performance. This paper looks into the inclusiveness of virtual representations in relation to space and community. It also emphasizes the possibility of virtual reality to blend the two kinds of narratives by offering a narrative utopia. Most of the applications, however, are limited to artistic ones and do not deal with wider issues, such as how this approach can be adapted to other spheres of existence, for instance, education or work.

❖ Grech et al. (2024) emphasised on the thoughtful inquiry on the consideration of virtual reality design in enabling people's participation who have cognitive disabilities in industrial contexts. Their application of empathic design principles illustrates how immersive technologies can promote and enhance the diversity and engagement of the workforce. Nonetheless, the broader applicability of the study is curtailed due to its concentration on manufacturing. Wider application of these principles to areas such as education, health care and even entertainment will make them more practicable in fostering inclusivity in different VR and AR settings.

❖ Creed et al. (2023) explored accessibility challenges in immersive technologies noting issues such as interface design and interaction for impaired individuals among other things. The research provides practical strategies, such as the use of adaptive designs and multimodal feedback systems. These recommendations are appreciated but the research is still tilted towards technological solutions at the expense of tackling structural issues such as the expense and supply of assistive equipment. This criticism points to the importance of the interaction in the achievement of inclusivity because it is not only about technology.

❖ Williams and Blackford (2024) considered the design recommendations related to AR and VR environments for military training purposes incorporating interoperability, user experience and other factors. Despite being defence specific, the insights may have a wider relevance in designing inclusive and immersive environments for any other assortments. This paper discusses the need to comprehend multiple user needs but fails to clarify how these suggestions could be implemented in other areas such as video games or social networks that seek to promote inclusiveness, but are not military in nature.

❖ Doroudian (2023) highlighted the constraints posed by the absence of physical cues and usability challenges are major obstacles to inclusivity in the practice of collaborative VR and AR. The research draws attention to the importance of enhancing social presence as well as interaction design. On the other hand, it aims at stating the existing issues rather than presenting solutions in detail, leaving out actionable measures that would promote collaborative inclusivity.

❖ Spittle et al. (2022) highlighted there are various techniques for facilitating interactions in immersive settings. Yet, lack of standardization is seen as a critical barrier to inclusivity. To resolve the issue, the authors put forward some adaptive methods. The review is rich in materials though does not go far enough on how these can be adapted for use in actual deployed commercial virtual and augmented reality systems, thus limiting the usefulness of the paper in practical contexts.

❖ Zallio et al. (2023) emphasised on the ethical issues and availability to all must be addressed in order to achieve inclusivity of immersive technologies. Their scoping review supports user-centred design processes but does not provide any examples of how such processes have been successfully or unsuccessfully implemented in practice.

❖ Mohammed et al. 2020 explained that Ethical knowledge is the basic structure which gives general principles regarding appropriate behaviour and interaction among individuals. People are encouraged to act in a certain manner according to the principles held in common by a society. Thus, when it comes to technology ethics, it refers to the rules and standards of conduct concerning technology usage as well as development, in short, everything that relates to rightness, and wrongness in the production and deployment of technology.

❖ Bietti (2020) investigated that the mention of ethics in the context of science and technology is problematic because it has been misapplied, in the view of some technology producers, to cover some unsavoury practices. It impinges on such perceptions by stating that the overindulgence in the term ethics by some firms and the backlash from others are misplaced. What he sees in this is that ethics should not reduce to a convenient excuse for one's improper acts or be contemptuously brushed off. Rather, he maintains that there are circumstances when ethics is useful in assessing the merits of technology policy approaches. It is not to be viewed as a hindrance, but as a moral compass in a world that is becoming more and more driven by technology, that assists in making ethical choices.

Research Gap

The current literature on immersive media technologies, namely, VR and AR, has advanced very well in detailing the ethical issues that arise; however, it presents several shortcomings that must be filled if these technologies are to develop in an inclusive and responsible manner:

1) **Emerging Ethical Challenges:** The majority of the studies e.g. (Felton-Dansky et al., 2023; Doroudian, 2023) reviews on the more conventional challenges such as standardization and interaction design. However, do not sufficiently discuss emerging ethical dilemmas such as AI-induced interactions, sharing data in real-time, and the use of deep fakes, hence not closing forward looking gaps.

2) **Constrained Contextual Use:** Research endeavours, including those of Grech et al. (2024) and Williams and Blackford (2024), often seem to be confined to particular niche sectors like production or armed forces pedagogy. The implications of such research have yet to be studied, more so utilized, within major sectors such as education, health care, entertainment, and general societal interfaces, leading to critical challenges of context integration.

3) **Ignoring Structural Limitations:** In recent years, much attention has been paid on technological solutions such as adaptive interfaces or multimodal feedback systems (Creed et

al., 2023), overlooked are the structural ones such as cost, availability of assistive devices and absence of standard solutions. Solutions to these challenges are pivotal in ensuring that everyone has equal opportunities to access the immersive technologies.

4) **Strategies for Ethical Communication and Experience:** The issue of privacy protection and geo-blocking (Fitriani et al., 2023; Zahalka and Schmucker, 2021) is addressed from an ethics perspective, yet research focusing on the application of these new ethical strategies to achieve acceptable level of user experience is scant resulting in a delicate balance between ethics and usability remaining unattended.

Conclusion

Disruptive media technologies such as VR and AR offer fantastic opportunities but equally represent staggering ethical dilemmas concerning privacy, inclusivism, cultural plurality, and access to the technologies. Existing studies present a solid baseline for recognizing some of these factors, but the depth of inquiry is still not sufficient for addressing them causatively. Put differently, theoretical frameworks on the ethics of design and the actual ethical design of immersive systems are worlds apart. More so, higher order structural and socio-cultural issues that affect such technologies are still insufficiently studied meaning such technologies are rare to global inclusiveness.

Recommendations And Suggestions

1) **Formulation of Actionable Ethical Guidelines**: It is imperative that both scholars and government authorities create and agree upon rather concrete guidelines. Some of these guidelines should be practical design solutions that embed moral principles in action. These should counterbalance ethics and user experience, addressing such issues as privacy, inclusivity, and culture at the same time, rather than in turns.

2) **Uniformity and Governance:** Push for the formulation and implementation of international norms and policies for immersive media technologies in order to tackle issues like geo-restrictions, access, and abuse of individual privacy among others. This incorporates promoting the usage of responsive web design and also ensuring there is disclosure of how the data is utilized.

3) **Ethical Training and Education:** While pursuing research in wider horizons like education, healthcare and even the public areas together with the cost implication as well as the training in ethics and accessibility challenges will drive the development of immersive images of technology that is mindful of every single user and want’s necessarily to meet ethical standards. These are also necessary for the advancement of responsible and healthy future of inclusive immersive media.

4) **Accessibility and Budget Concerns**: In order to tackle these impediments associated these issues, pressure groups should advocate for government funding in the form of subsidies or public-funded investment into immersive technologies in particular. Also, Investigators ought to consider ways of creating an efficient low-cost system that is easily available to users without diminishing their experiences. This could mean the making of devices that are less costly, or making use of cheaper alternatives to expensive proprietary software to enhance distribution among the poor.

Referances

1. Benoit, S., Dube, L., & Guimont, E. (2024). The Future of Personalized Retail: Merging AI and VR for Enhanced Customer Experiences. *Journal of Business Research*, 159, 392-404.
2. Choi, H., Kim, J., & Lee, S. (2022). Emotional Engagement in Virtual Reality Shopping: The Role of Immersive Technology in Enhancing Customer Loyalty. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 64, 102735.
3. Gao, T., Liu, Y., & Zhang, J. (2022). The Impact of Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality on Consumer Behaviour: Insights for Retail Strategy. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 40(5), 578-590.
4. Gonzalez, R., Perez, J., & Martinez, A. (2023). Virtual Reality in Retail: A New Frontier for Customer Engagement and Satisfaction. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 51(3), 334-352.
5. Huang, Y., & Liao, J. (2023). The Role of Virtual Reality in Reducing Purchase Anxiety: Implications for Customer Satisfaction in E-commerce. *Journal of Business Research*, 151, 501-510.
6. Kumar, A., & Gupta, S. (2022). The Accelerated Adoption of Digital Solutions in Retail: Insights from the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Information Management*, 57, 102206.
7. Kim, S., & Hall, D. (2023). The Influence of Virtual Reality on Consumer Experience: A Study of Immersive Shopping Environments. *Journal of Retailing*, 99(1), 85-98.