European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 15, Issue 3 (2025)
http://eelet.org.uk

Beyond Capital: Understanding Financial Constraints of Gujarat's Entrepreneurs

Kinjal Thaker
Research Scholar, Darshan Institute of Management, Darshan University kinjal.thaker@darshan.ac.in

Dr. Dhaval Vyas
Associate Professor, Darshan Institute of Management, Darshan University dhaval.vyas@darshan.ac.in

Abstract
This study focuses at Gujarat entrepreneurs' perceptions of financial limitations. The survey questions on seed funding difficulties, finding alternative finance, and the perceived relationship between funding and business performance are used to gauge the perception of financial constraints. To reflect the general view of financial constraints, these factors are compiled into a composite Finance Constraint Index (FCI). The findings indicate that most entrepreneurs view finance access as a major obstacle. Additionally, the study discovers that neither gender nor educational attainment much alters these beliefs. The results indicate that in order to effectively assist entrepreneurs in overcoming funding obstacles, more focused financing solutions, enhanced investment-readiness initiatives, and more knowledge of alternative financial possibilities are required.

Keywords: entrepreneurship, finance constraints, Gujarat, startups, MSMEs, empirical study

Introduction
An increasingly important component of India's strategy for social and economic development is entrepreneurship. Entrepreneurs have been essential in promoting commerce, innovation, and job development in Gujarat, a state long praised for its economic vitality and entrepreneurial culture. Despite this rich history, today's entrepreneurs must contend with a business climate that is changing quickly due to increased competition, unpredictability on a global scale, and technological upheaval. One of the most commonly mentioned obstacles to the survival and expansion of entrepreneurs in such a setting is the accessibility and availability of funding.

The capacity of entrepreneurs to negotiate intricate financial systems, create business models that are appealing to investors, and gain the trust of both official and informal funding sources are all important factors in obtaining financing. These issues are especially severe for startups and innovation-driven businesses: government funding programs are still underutilised because of low awareness or administrative obstacles, traditional banks frequently demand collateral, and venture capitalists choose high-growth industries. Financial limitations so continue to limit the potential of prospective entrepreneurs, discourage risk-taking, and postpone growing initiatives.

Previous research emphasises how complex financial difficulties are. While some research emphasise the significance of venture capital and angel investment gaps, others concentrate on impediments that arise at the seed stage. Systemic problems including poor financial literacy, a lack of collateral, administrative barriers to government programs, and unequal regional development are all mentioned in the research. Despite the importance of these discoveries, there is still a lack of empirical data at the state level, especially in entrepreneurial hotspots like Gujarat where institutional and cultural factors may create distinctive experiences.

By methodically investigating how 100 Gujarati entrepreneurs perceive financial restrictions, this research aims to close that gap. The study intends to determine if finance is in fact viewed as a substantial limitation and whether such perceptions differ by important demographic characteristics by operationalising financial constraints using a series of Likert-scale questions and utilising rigorous statistical analysis. It is anticipated that the results would clarify how entrepreneurs understand funding obstacles and the ramifications for ecosystem players, educators, and legislators.

Finally, by going "beyond capital availability" to investigate the more general issue of capital accessibility and financial readiness—which is becoming more widely acknowledged as the crucial missing component in developing entrepreneurial ecosystems—the study adds to discussions in both academia and practice.

2. Objectives of the Research

1. To study Gujarat entrepreneurs' perceptions of financial limitations.
2. To find out if people think these financial limitations are important and if they differ depending on factors like education and gender.

3. Literature Review

(Gautam, 2025), Although it has long been acknowledged that having access to financing is essential for entrepreneurship, both startups and family-owned enterprises still face significant obstacles in developing nations like India. According to academics, financial restrictions are multifaceted and include not just the availability of finances but also the capacity of entrepreneurs to efficiently acquire, manage, and use these funds.

(Karambe, 2024) . The goal of policy actions has been to lessen these obstacles. Research indicates that the Startup India Seed Fund Scheme is still only used by well-connected entrepreneurs, despite being hailed as a crucial intervention to close early-stage funding gaps. Similarly, an analysis of the efficacy of government programs like Startup India, MUDRA, and Fund of Funds found that although they raise awareness, their influence is limited by bureaucratic obstacles and uneven regional implementation.

(Garg, S., Gupta, S., & Mallick, S., 2023) examined financial access in rural India, revealing glaring gender differences: women entrepreneurs have far greater obstacles to obtaining official credit than do males. In support of this, a comprehensive survey conducted in Tamil Nadu found that more than 90% of business owners saw financial limitations as a significant obstacle, with repayment terms and collateral requirements serving as the main roadblocks. When taken as a whole, these studies highlight how widespread and systemic financial difficulties are in many parts of India.

(Banudevia, 2019) Although it has long been acknowledged that having access to financing is essential for entrepreneurship, both startups and family-owned enterprises still face significant obstacles in developing nations like India. According to academics, financial

restrictions are multifaceted and include not just the availability of finances but also the capacity of entrepreneurs to efficiently acquire, manage, and use these funds.
Similarly, (Sah, 2018) demonstrates that Gujarati entrepreneurs have little knowledge of venture capital or angel investment options and rely mostly on their own funds and family assistance. These results highlight how informal finance mechanisms continue to exist even as official financial institutions expand.

There are fresh chances to close gaps thanks to emerging financial technology. Traditional banking is rapidly being complemented by peer-to-peer financing, fintech-driven credit systems, and digital lending platforms. Nonetheless, small business owners continue to have a poor level of knowledge and confidence in these options. Although collateral-free lending options have increased because to institutional backing like the Credit Guarantee Fund Trust for Micro and Small Enterprises (CGTMSE), access is still unequal among states.

In conclusion, three recurring themes emerge from the reviewed literature: (1) seed-stage funding is a state-wide barrier; (2) government interventions are present but impeded by implementation gaps; and (3) entrepreneurs heavily rely on informal sources because they are not aware of formal and alternative mechanisms. Despite Gujarat's great entrepreneurial culture, there hasn't been much empirical study that focusses on the state explicitly. This disparity highlights the necessity of the current study, which intends to investigate entrepreneurs' perceptions of financial restrictions in Gujarat empirically and determine whether or not finance availability is viewed as a major obstacle in this particular location.

3. Hypotheses

H0 (Null): Entrepreneurs in Gujarat do not perceive access to funding as a significant constraint for innovation-based startups (FCI mean ≤ 3).
H1 (Alternative): Entrepreneurs in Gujarat do perceive access to funding as a significant constraint (FCI mean > 3).

4 . Research Methodology

4.1 Design and Sample

100 Gujarat business owners participated in a convenience sampling method, which is in line with descriptive field research.

4.2 Instrument & Measures

Three Likert-type items (1–5) captured finance perceptions:

- Seed Funding Challenge
- Alt Finance Hard To Access
- Funding Ease Linked To Success

A composite Finance Constraint Index (FCI) is computed as the mean of available items per respondent.

4.3 Data Handling & Analysis

Descriptives: Mean, SD, distribution.
Hypothesis test: One-sample t-test vs neutral = 3.
Effect size: Cohen’s d.
Group differences: One-way ANOVA for coded Gender and Education (exploratory).

5.1 Sample Profile

Table 1 shows a coded frequency distribution for location, role, sector, education, age, and gender. Entrepreneurship should change from being motivated by necessity to being motivated by opportunity, especially in urban tech industries.

Variable (Item)	N	Mean	SD	Min	Median	Max
Seed funding is a major challenge	100	4.01	1.01	1.0	4.0	5.0
Accessing alternative financing is difficult	99	3.24	1.29	1.0	3.0	5.0
Ease of funding access determines startup success	99	3.15	1.30	1.0	3.0	5.0
Finance Constraint Index (mean of 3 items)	100	**3.48**	**0.87**	1.0	3.47	5.0

Table 1Descriptive Statistics of Financial Constraint Items

Interpretation: While opinions of alternative financing availability and the funding-success relationship are above neutral but more variable, entrepreneurs view seed money as the biggest obstacle (mean = 4.0). Overall, there is a propensity to view money as a restriction, according to the composite money restriction Index (FCI)

N	Mean (FCI)	SD	Test Value	t	df	p-value	Effect Size (Cohen's d)
100	3.48	0.87	3.0	5.51	99	< .001	0.55 (medium)

Table 3 One-Sample t-Test of FCI Against Neutral Point (3.0)

Interpretation: The neutral point (3.0) is substantially lower than the Finance Constraint Index mean (M = 3.48). We reject the null hypothesis with a medium effect size (d = 0.55) and a p-value of less than.001. Finance is seen by Gujarati business owners as a major obstacle.

Factor	Groups (coded)	n per group	F	p
Gender	1 = 57 ; 2 = 43	57, 43	0.005	0.944

Table 4 — Exploratory Group Comparisons (One-way ANOVA) A. By Gender (coded)

Factor	Groups (coded)	n per group	F	p
Education	1 = 36 ; 2 = 50 ; 3 = 5 ; 4 = 9	36,50,5,9	1.023	0.386

Table 5 ***Table 4 — Exploratory*** *Group Comparisons (One-way ANOVA) B. By Educational Qualification (coded)*

Interpretation: In this sample, there were no statistically significant variations in FCI by coded gender or coded education (p >.05). Note: exercise caution when interpreting tiny subgroup Ns (especially education groups 3 and 4) as they restrict power.

Response value	Frequency
1.0	22
2.0	18
3.0	25
4.0	25
5.0	9

Table 6 Frequency Snapshot: Responses to a funding-challenges item

Interpretation: The conclusion that many entrepreneurs see separate financial problems is supported by the median values of 3 and 4, which indicate that the responses are weighted towards the mid-to-high range.
The computation clarifies that:

European Economic Letters
ISSN 2323-5233
Vol 15, Issue 3 (2025)
http://eelet.org.uk

• The test statistic was $t(99) = 5.51$, $p < .001$, confirming statistical significance;
• The effect size (Cohen's $d = 0.55$) showed a medium magnitude, suggesting that the difference is not only statistically significant but also practically meaningful;
• The results showed a sample mean ($M = 3.48$, $SD = 0.87$, $N = 100$) that was significantly higher than the neutral point.
These findings support the acceptance of the alternative hypothesis (H_1) and the rejection of the null hypothesis (H_0).

Conclusion: Gujarati entrepreneurs unmistakably view financial access as a major obstacle, especially during the seed fundraising phase, supporting the main finding of this study.

6. Findings

The overall mean of the Finance Constraint Index (FCI) was 3.48 (SD = 0.87), suggesting that Gujarati entrepreneurs generally view capital availability as more challenging than neutral.

Among specific items:

The largest obstacle was seed finance ($M = 4.01$), indicating that the top priority for businesses is obtaining starting cash.

The notion that funding easily impacts success ($M = 3.15$) and alternative finance access ($M = 3.24$) both scored above neutral, indicating moderate obstacles.

The FCI mean (3.48) is substantially higher than the neutral point (3.0), according to a one-sample t-test; $t(99) = 5.51$, $p < .001$, and the effect size is medium (Cohen's $d = 0.55$).

Interpretation: The alternative hypothesis (H_1) is supported by entrepreneurs' significant perception of finance availability as a limitation.

ANOVA group comparisons: o Male and female respondents' views of financial constraints did not vary significantly ($p = 0.944$).

There were no discernible variations across the various educational levels ($p = 0.386$).

Managerial & Policy Implications

1. For Gujarat's early-stage businesses, strengthen seed-stage instruments such as grant-linked incubation, convertible notes, revenue-based financing, and micro-equity.
2. Investment-readiness initiatives that lower perceived obstacles to entry include data-room training, accounting systems, pitch coaching, and financial literacy.
3. Broker networks and deal flow: organised demo days that connect local innovators with angels and venture capitalists; encourage syndicates and joint ventures with government agencies.
4. Awareness & Navigation: comprehensive, easily understandable manuals on government programs, credit guarantees, and integrated finance.
5. Incubator KPIs: Instead than just requiring training hours, require incubators to provide financial access outcomes (grant wins, investor meetings, and term sheets)

8. Limitations

•100-person convenience sample; limited generalisability.
•Subgroup analyses are less interpretable when demographics are coded (labelless).
• Future research should broaden the scale (≥5–7 items) to incorporate breadth (e.g., collateral, interest rates, investor access, financial literacy, documentation burden), as the three-item measure produces a moderate α.

9. Future Research

• Scale development: verify a multifaceted finance-constraints scale (EFA/CFA) tailored to Indian circumstances.

• Causal modelling: use panel data or SEM to examine the impact of financial limitations on growth outcomes (e.g., employment, sales).

• Studies that compare incubated and non-incubated businesses, manufacturing and services, and urban and rural areas.

• Intersectional analysis: to find focused interventions, demographic categories (gender, education, and age bands) are recoded.

10. Conclusion

It is evident that Gujarati entrepreneurs view funding as a restrictive barrier, particularly during the startup phase. Both practically and statistically, the effect is considerable. In order to bridge the last mile between entrepreneurs and finance, policy and ecosystem players should address accessibility and preparedness in addition to capital availability.

References

1. Banudevia, P. B. (2019). Understanding the financing challenges faced by startups in India. *Journal of Management and Science.* , https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/e76e/e7e23d4bf7d9760f9f0d24cfddb04058059e.pdf.
2. Dixit.J.,Agarwal.S.,Ramadani.V., & Agrawal.V. (2022). Comparing Male and Female Entrepreneurs-Is the Comparison Justified? (A Qualitative Study in Indian Perspective). *FIIB Business Review*.
3. Dr. C.R. Mageshwar.& Dr. K. Jothimani. (2022). Entrepreneurship Development in India-the Focus on Start-ups. *International Journal of Engineering and Management Research*.
4. Faraz.M. (2022). Rural Entrepreneurship in India _ Entrepreneurship _ Partnership. *Inspira- Journal of Modern Management & Entrepreneurship (JMME)*.
5. Garg, S., Gupta, S., & Mallick, S. (2023). Financial access and entrepreneurship by gender: Evidence from rural India. *STEG Working Paper.*, https://cepr.org/system/files/publication-files/WP062.pdf.
6. Garg.M.,&Gupta.S. . (2021). Startups and the growing entrepreneurial ecosystem. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*.
7. Gautam, S. &. (2025). Female entrepreneurs and their challenges: A case study of Gujarat state of India. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management,* , 10(27 S).
8. Karambe, P. (2024). An analysis of Government of India's Startup India initiatives and their impact on entrepreneurship. *Journal of Research in Business and Management.* , https://www.interesjournals.org/articles/an-analysis-of-government-of-indias-startup-i.
9. Kumar, M. V. (2021). VG Siddhartha of Cafe Coffee Day: A 'Failed' Entrepreneur? *IUP Journal of Entrepreneurship Development*.
10. Kumari.M. (2021). Role of Entrepreneurship in Indian Economy. *SSRN Electronic Journal*.
11. Pangriya.R. (2022). An Explorative Study on Problems and Challenges of Rural Entrepreneurs in Hilly Rural Areas. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Management Research and Innovation*, 3-4.

12. Prakash.B.,Kumar.I.,& Verma.J. . (2021). Barriers and potential solutions for MSMEs in developing economies: Evidence from India. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*.
13. Sah, P. (2018). Factors affecting funding choices of entrepreneurs in India. . *Psychology and Education Journal.* , https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/728a/878f33d8f7dc4c787b0bdeebc21c409bd7f2.pdf.
14. Saranya Devi.E.& Rajamohan.S. . (2020). Differently Abled Entrepreneurs in India-Evolving issues and Challenges. *Test Engineering and Management*.
15. Shastri.S.,Shastri.S.,Pareek.A.,& Sharma.R. (2022). Exploring women entrepreneurs' motivations and challenges from an institutional perspective: evidences from a patriarchal state in India. *Journal of Enterprising Communities*, 16.
16. Shetty.D.,&Gupta.V. (2022). Issues and Challenges Faced by Institutions Giving Management Education in India and Strategies to be Adopted for the Survival. *GBS Impact: Journal of Multi Disciplinary Research*, 8.
17. Sinha.N. (2023). Challenges and Opportunities Faced by Innovative Entrepreneurs in India. *Research in Engineering & Multidisciplinary Physical Sciences*.