

The Role of Social Media in Crisis Communication: An Indian Perspective on the Impact of Twitter (X) on Public Perception during Natural Disasters

Dr. Garima Rai¹, Dr. Kumar Ambar Pandey²

^{1*} Assistant professor, Department of Media and Mass Communication Graphic Era Hill University, Haldwani Campus

Email id- garimarai@gehu.ac.in

² Assistant professor, School of Journalism and Mass Communication Noida International University

Email id- ambar.pandey@niu.edu.in

Abstract

The rapid expansion of digital communication technologies has fundamentally transformed crisis communication practices in India, particularly in the context of natural disasters. Social media platforms have emerged as crucial spaces for real-time information dissemination, public engagement, and narrative construction. Among these platforms, Twitter (recently rebranded as X) occupies a distinctive position due to its immediacy, interactive architecture, and extensive use by government institutions, media organisations, civil society actors, and citizens. This study examines the role of Twitter in shaping public perception during natural disasters in India, with specific focus on its agenda-setting, framing, and participatory functions.

Anchored in crisis communication theory and social media theory, the study adopts a mixed-method research design to ensure analytical depth. Quantitative analysis examines patterns of information flow, visibility, and public engagement using disaster-related hashtags, while qualitative thematic analysis explores the content produced by official authorities, news organisations, and individual users. The empirical scope of the study is supported by detailed case studies of major Indian disasters, including the Kerala floods (2018), Cyclone Amphan (2020), the Uttarakhand flash floods (2021), and compound crises during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The findings reveal that Twitter significantly enhances the speed, reach, and visibility of disaster-related information, thereby influencing public awareness and perception. Communication disseminated through verified institutional accounts contributes to agenda-setting and reinforces public trust, while citizen-led communication facilitates coordination of relief efforts and fosters digital solidarity. However, the study also identifies critical challenges associated with misinformation, political polarization, emotional amplification, and information asymmetry, particularly during the initial stages of crises. These factors shape public interpretation of disasters and may undermine effective response mechanisms.

The study concludes that while Twitter has become an indispensable component of India's contemporary crisis communication ecosystem, its effectiveness is contingent upon timely, credible, and ethically responsible communication. Strengthening institutional preparedness, verification mechanisms, and inclusive digital communication strategies is essential for enhancing disaster resilience and public trust in the Indian context.

Keywords; Crisis Communication; Social Media; Twitter (X); Natural Disasters; Public Perception; Disaster Communication; India

1. Introduction

The rapid expansion of digital communication technologies has significantly transformed the information ecosystem in India. With increased internet penetration, widespread smartphone usage, and the proliferation of social networking platforms, social media has emerged as a critical medium

of public communication. Among various platforms, Twitter (recently rebranded as X) occupies a distinctive position due to its real-time, text-centric, and interactive nature.

In the Indian context, Twitter has evolved into a vital communication tool for journalists, policymakers, disaster management authorities, and citizens. During natural disasters, the platform facilitates instantaneous dissemination of alerts, advisories, situational updates, and relief-related information. Consequently, Twitter plays a crucial role in shaping public perception regarding the severity of disasters, the adequacy of institutional responses, and the credibility of information sources.

India's geographical and climatic diversity renders it highly susceptible to natural disasters such as cyclones, floods, earthquakes, landslides, droughts, and heatwaves. Events including the Uttarakhand floods (2013), Kerala floods (2018), Cyclone Amphan (2020), and recent flash floods across northern India have underscored the importance of effective crisis communication mechanisms. In this context, examining the role of Twitter in influencing public perception during natural disasters in India is both timely and academically significant.

1.1 Background and Rationale

Traditionally, crisis communication in India was mediated through conventional mass media such as newspapers, radio, and television, supplemented by official announcements from government authorities. However, the emergence of social media has restructured this communication framework by enabling direct, rapid, and interactive information exchange.

Institutions such as the National Disaster Management Authority (NDMA), India Meteorological Department (IMD), state governments, and district administrations actively use Twitter to issue early warnings, safety advisories, and post-disaster updates. Simultaneously, citizens contribute to the information flow by sharing firsthand accounts, images, and videos, thereby fostering participatory communication.

While this decentralization of information enhances transparency and responsiveness, it also raises concerns regarding misinformation, panic dissemination, and uneven access due to linguistic and digital divides. Despite the growing relevance of Twitter in disaster communication, systematic academic inquiry into its influence on public perception within the Indian socio-cultural and political context remains limited. This study seeks to address this research gap.

1.2 Research Questions and Objectives

Research Questions

1. How does Twitter influence public perception during natural disasters in India?
2. What role do official government and institutional Twitter accounts play in crisis communication?
3. How do citizens engage with disaster-related information on Twitter?
4. What challenges related to misinformation and credibility emerge during disaster communication on Twitter?

Objectives

- To examine the role of Twitter in disseminating disaster-related information in India
- To analyse public engagement patterns with official and non-official Twitter content
- To assess the impact of Twitter communication on public trust and perception
- To identify ethical and structural challenges in social media-based crisis communication

2. Literature Review

The literature on crisis communication highlights the significance of agenda-setting, framing, and information flow during emergencies. Scholars argue that social media platforms function not only as channels of information dissemination but also as spaces where public opinion is actively constructed.

In the Indian context, existing studies indicate that social media complements traditional media during crises by offering immediacy and participatory engagement. Research on disasters such as floods and cyclones reveals that Twitter facilitates rapid circulation of warnings, mobilization of relief efforts, and visibility of marginalized voices. However, scholars also caution against the proliferation of rumours, politicization of disasters, and credibility deficits arising from unverified content.

This study draws upon crisis communication theory and social media theory to examine Twitter's role in shaping public perception during natural disasters in India.

3. Conceptual Framework

Crisis communication is understood as a process involving the collection, processing, and dissemination of information before, during, and after a crisis. In India's hybrid media environment, social media and traditional media mutually influence each other.

Twitter functions simultaneously as:

- An agenda-setting platform that prioritizes certain disaster narratives
- A framing mechanism that shapes interpretations of institutional response
- A participatory public sphere enabling citizen expression and engagement

Public perception in this study is analysed in terms of visibility, credibility, emotional tone, and engagement patterns associated with disaster-related tweets.

4. Methodology

The study adopts a mixed-method research design to ensure analytical depth and methodological rigor.

Quantitative Analysis

Tweets related to selected Indian natural disasters were collected using relevant hashtags such as #KeralaFloods, #CycloneAmphan, #IMDAAlert, and #UttarakhandDisaster. Quantitative metrics including tweet volume, retweets, replies, and likes were analysed to assess dissemination patterns.

Qualitative Analysis

Content and thematic analysis were conducted on tweets posted by:

- Government and institutional accounts
- News organisations
- Citizens and civil society actors

This approach facilitates an understanding of dominant themes, framing strategies, and public sentiment.

5. Impact of Twitter on Public Perception

5.1 Information Dissemination

Twitter significantly enhances the speed and reach of disaster-related information in India. Official updates issued through verified accounts often serve as primary sources for both citizens and news

organisations. The retweeting of such information amplifies its visibility and contributes to agenda-setting during crises.

5.2 Engagement and Interaction

Twitter enables two-way communication between authorities and the public, allowing citizens to seek assistance, report local conditions, and express concerns. This interactive dynamic reshapes traditional power relations in crisis communication by promoting transparency and accountability. However, emotional intensity and political polarization can also influence public interpretation of disaster events.

6. Case Studies: Twitter and Crisis Communication during Natural Disasters in India

This section presents **in-depth case studies of major natural disasters in India** to examine how Twitter influenced crisis communication, public perception, and institutional response. The selected cases represent different geographical regions, disaster types, and governance challenges, thereby enabling analytical generalization.

6.1 Kerala Floods (2018): Citizen-Led Communication and Digital Solidarity

The Kerala floods of August 2018 represent one of the most significant natural disasters in recent Indian history. Triggered by unprecedented monsoon rainfall and the release of water from multiple dams, the floods affected over 5 million people and resulted in extensive loss of life, property, and infrastructure.

Role of Twitter in Information Dissemination

During the floods, Twitter emerged as a critical real-time communication platform. Official agencies such as the Kerala State Government, Kerala Police, NDMA, and district administrations used Twitter to disseminate flood warnings, emergency helpline numbers, and evacuation advisories. Hashtags such as **#KeralaFloods**, **#KeralaRelief**, and **#SaveKerala** trended nationally and internationally.

Citizen Participation and Public Perception

A distinctive feature of this disaster was the large-scale participation of citizens on Twitter. Individuals shared live location details of stranded victims, availability of boats, medical assistance requirements, and relief material needs. Volunteers, NGOs, and the Indian diaspora coordinated rescue and donation efforts through Twitter threads.

Public perception during this period was largely **supportive and empathetic**, with Twitter functioning as a space of digital solidarity. The visibility of citizen-driven relief initiatives contributed to a positive framing of community resilience and cooperative governance.

Challenges

Despite its effectiveness, the platform also witnessed circulation of outdated helpline numbers and duplicated rescue requests, highlighting the need for verification mechanisms. Nevertheless, the Kerala floods established Twitter as a powerful participatory crisis communication tool in India.

6.2 Cyclone Amphan (2020): Institutional Communication and Political Framing

Cyclone Amphan, one of the strongest cyclones to hit the Bay of Bengal region, made landfall in May 2020, severely affecting West Bengal and Odisha. The disaster coincided with the COVID-19 pandemic, intensifying the complexity of crisis communication.

Government and Institutional Communication

Twitter was extensively used by the India Meteorological Department (IMD) to issue early warnings, cyclone trajectory maps, wind speed updates, and rainfall forecasts. State governments used Twitter to announce evacuation measures, shelter arrangements, and post-cyclone assessments.

Media and Political Narratives

Unlike the Kerala floods, the Twitter discourse during Cyclone Amphan was marked by **political contestation**. Competing narratives emerged regarding preparedness, relief distribution, and damage assessment. Political leaders, journalists, and party-affiliated handles actively framed the disaster response to support or critique governance.

Public Perception

Public perception was polarized. While many users appreciated timely meteorological updates and evacuation efforts, others expressed dissatisfaction over relief delays and infrastructural damage. Twitter thus functioned as both an informational platform and a site of political debate, influencing trust in authorities.

Implications

This case illustrates how Twitter-mediated crisis communication in India is shaped not only by disaster severity but also by political context. The platform amplifies institutional transparency but also intensifies framing conflicts.

6.3 Uttarakhand Flash Floods (2021): Misinformation and Information Asymmetry

The flash floods triggered by a glacial burst in Chamoli district, Uttarakhand, in February 2021 caused widespread destruction, particularly affecting hydroelectric projects and remote mountain communities.

Twitter as a Breaking News Platform

Twitter was among the first platforms where news of the disaster surfaced. Journalists, local residents, and environmental activists posted videos and eyewitness accounts before official confirmation. Hashtags such as **#UttarakhandDisaster** and **#ChamoliFloods** gained traction rapidly.

Misinformation and Speculation

In the absence of immediate official clarification, Twitter witnessed speculative narratives regarding the causes of the disaster, including claims related to dam failures and climate change conspiracies. This misinformation influenced early public perception and created confusion.

Official Response and Correction

Subsequently, government agencies and scientific institutions used Twitter to provide verified explanations and counter false claims. However, the delay in authoritative communication highlighted the risk of narrative vacuum during disasters.

Lessons Learned

This case underscores the necessity of **timely, authoritative, and scientifically grounded communication** on Twitter to prevent misinformation from shaping public perception in disaster contexts.

6.4 COVID-19 and Cyclones: Compound Crises and Digital Governance

India's experience with cyclones such as **Cyclone Tauktae (2021)** and **Cyclone Yaas (2021)** during the COVID-19 pandemic provides insight into compound crisis communication.

Twitter was used simultaneously to communicate health advisories, evacuation guidelines, vaccination updates, and cyclone alerts. This convergence of crises increased information overload but also demonstrated Twitter’s capacity to handle multi-layered crisis narratives.

Public perception during this period reflected anxiety, trust deficits, and reliance on digital platforms for survival-related information.

6.5 Comparative Analysis of Indian Case Studies

| Aspect | Kerala Floods | Cyclone Amphan | Uttarakhand Floods |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Dominant Actor | Citizens & NGOs | Government & Political Actors | Journalists & Experts |
| Public Sentiment | Empathetic & Supportive | Polarized | Confused & Anxious |
| Role of Twitter | Coordination & Relief | Agenda-setting & Framing | & Breaking News & Speculation |
| Key Challenge | Verification | Political Polarization | Misinformation |

7. Conclusion

The present study has examined the evolving role of Twitter (X) in crisis communication within the Indian context, with particular emphasis on its influence on public perception during natural disasters. Drawing upon crisis communication theory, social media theory, and empirical case studies of major Indian disasters, the study establishes that Twitter has emerged as a critical component of India’s contemporary disaster communication ecosystem.

The findings indicate that Twitter significantly enhances the **speed, reach, and visibility of disaster-related information**. Official communication disseminated through verified accounts of institutions such as the National Disaster Management Authority (NDMA), India Meteorological Department (IMD), and state governments plays a crucial agenda-setting role during crises. The amplification of such information through retweets and media convergence reinforces Twitter’s function as a primary source of real-time updates for both citizens and news organisations.

At the same time, the study demonstrates that Twitter is not merely a top-down communication tool but a **participatory public sphere**. The Kerala floods (2018) exemplified how citizen-led communication, digital volunteering, and collective action on Twitter fostered solidarity, facilitated rescue coordination, and positively shaped public perception of disaster response. Such participatory dynamics challenge traditional hierarchies of crisis communication and underscore the democratic potential of social media platforms in disaster contexts.

However, the study also highlights that the impact of Twitter on public perception is **highly context-dependent**. The case of Cyclone Amphan (2020) revealed how political framing and partisan contestation on Twitter can polarize public opinion and influence trust in institutional response. Similarly, the Uttarakhand flash floods (2021) exposed the risks associated with information asymmetry, where delays in authoritative communication allowed misinformation and speculative narratives to shape early public perception.

The analysis further suggests that while Twitter enhances transparency and accountability, it simultaneously amplifies challenges related to **misinformation, emotional intensification, and**

digital inequality. The rapid circulation of unverified content, particularly during the initial stages of disasters, can generate confusion and panic. Moreover, uneven access to digital technologies, linguistic diversity, and varying levels of digital literacy limit the inclusive potential of Twitter-based crisis communication in India.

From a governance perspective, the study underscores the necessity of **institutional preparedness for digital crisis communication.** Timely, consistent, and scientifically grounded communication by authorities is essential to counter misinformation and maintain public trust. The findings also emphasize the importance of ethical communication practices, including respect for privacy, avoidance of sensationalism, and responsible framing of disaster narratives.

In conclusion, the study establishes that Twitter has become an indispensable tool in India's crisis communication framework, shaping public perception through information dissemination, engagement, and narrative construction. Its effectiveness, however, is contingent upon credibility, coordination between stakeholders, and the capacity of institutions and citizens to navigate the platform responsibly. As natural disasters intensify due to climate change and urban vulnerability, strengthening social media-based crisis communication strategies will be crucial for disaster preparedness and resilience in India.

References

1. Alexander, D. E. (2019). **Social media in disaster risk reduction and crisis management.** *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 25(1), 1–16.
2. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-017-9992-0>
3. Chakraborty, S., & Mukherjee, S. (2021). Political communication and disaster governance: A study of Cyclone Amphan on social media. *Media Watch*, 12(3), 471–486.
4. India Meteorological Department (IMD). (2020). *Cyclone Amphan: Meteorological analysis and early warning communication.* Government of India.
5. Joshi, N. (2021). Disaster reporting and social media: Lessons from the Uttarakhand flash floods. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(10), 22–25.
6. National Disaster Management Authority (NDMA). (2018). *Use of social media in disaster response: Kerala floods.* Government of India.
7. Palen, L., & Hughes, A. L. (2018). Social media in disaster communication. In H. Rodriguez, W. Donner, & J. E. Trainor (Eds.), *Handbook of disaster research* (pp. 497–518). Springer.
8. Sethi, R., & Kaur, P. (2022). Misinformation during disasters: An analysis of Twitter discourse in India. *Journal of Content, Community & Communication*, 15(8), 34–48.
9. World Health Organization India. (2021). *Risk communication during COVID-19 and natural disasters in India.* WHO India Office.
10. Aneez, Z., & Rajasekhar, D. (2020). Digital governance and disaster communication in India. *Journal of South Asian Development*, 15(2), 256–273.
11. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0973174120938714>
12. Banerjee, S., & Mehta, K. (2019). Social media and disaster management in India: A critical review. *Media Watch*, 10(3), 519–531.
13. Bennett, W. L., & Segerberg, A. (2012). The logic of connective action. *Information, Communication & Society*, 15(5), 739–768.
14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2012.670661>
15. Chaudhuri, S. (2021). Crisis communication and misinformation in India's digital public sphere. *Journal of Creative Communications*, 16(1), 55–70.
16. Gupta, A., Lamba, H., & Kumaraguru, P. (2013). \$Faking\$ Sandy: Characterizing and identifying fake images on Twitter during Hurricane Sandy. *Proceedings of WWW Companion*.

17. Houston, J. B., Hawthorne, J., Perreault, M. F., et al. (2015). Social media and disasters. *Disasters*, 39(1), 1–22.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/disa.12098>
18. Kumar, S., & Shah, N. (2018). False information on web and social media. *Synthesis Lectures on Data Management*, 10(3), 1–165.
19. National Institute of Disaster Management (NIDM). (2020). *Role of social media in disaster management in India*. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India.
20. Purohit, H., Castillo, C., Diaz, F., et al. (2018). Emergency response to natural disasters on social media. *Communications of the ACM*, 61(11), 59–67.
21. Reuter, C., Hughes, A. L., & Kaufhold, M. A. (2018). Social media in crisis management. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, 34(4), 280–294.